arXiv:1102.3021v1 [math.GR] 15 Feb 2011

UDC 512.542

Spectra of finite symplectic and orthogonal groups

Buturlakin A.A. [1]

Introduction

The spectrum $\omega(G)$ of a finite group G is the set of its element orders. In the present paper we describe the spectra of groups $Sp_{2n}(q)$, $PSp_{2n}(q)$, $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$, $\Omega^{\pm}_{2n}(q)$, $P\Omega^{\pm}_{2n}(q)$, and also of groups $SO_{2n+1}(q)$ and $SO^{\pm}_{2n}(q)$ for odd q. In particular, a description of spectra of all finite simple simplectic and orthogonal groups is obtained.

Let G be a finite group of Lie type over a field of characteristic p. The set $\omega(G)$ can be presented as a union of three subsets: the subset $\omega_p(G)$ of orders of all *unipotent* elements, i.e. those whose order is a power of p, the subset $\omega_{p'}(G)$ of orders of all *semisimple* elements, i.e. those whose order is coprime with p, and the subset $\omega_m(G)$ of the rest "composite" orders. Thus, the problem of describing the spectrum of a finite group of Lie type splits into three subproblems.

The maximal order of unipotent elements in every finite group of Lie type is found in [9, Proposition 0.5]. It is well known that each semisimple element of a group of Lie type is contained in some maximal torus of this group. In [2] a description of cyclic structure of maximal tori in all groups under consideration was obtained, and thus the semisimple parts of spectra of these groups was described. Hence, it remains to describe the composite parts of the spectra.

The set $\omega(G)$ is closed under taking divisors, i.e. if $n \in \omega(G)$ and d divides n then $d \in \omega(G)$. Therefore, it is uniquely determined by its subset $\mu(G)$ of elements that are maximal under the divisibility relation. Define a set $\mu_m(G)$ to be the intersection of $\mu(G)$ and $\omega_m(G)$. In the present paper for each group G under consideration we construct a set $\nu(G)$ such that $\mu_m(G) \subseteq \nu(G) \subseteq \omega(G)$.

The author would like to thank Vasil'ev A.V., Grechkoseeva M.A. and Vdovin E.P. for helpful remarks on the paper.

§ 1. Preliminary information and results

---

[1] The work is supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project 08–01–00322), the Council for Grants (under RF President) and State Aid of Leading Scientific Schools (project NSh–3669.2010.1), the Program "Development of the Scientific Potential of Higher School" of the Russian Federal Agency for Education (project 2.1.1.419), the Federal Program "Scientific and Scientific-Pedagogical Personnel of Innovative Russia" in 2009-2013 (gov. contract no. 02.740.11.0429), and also by Lavrent'ev Young Scientists Competition (No 43 on 04.02.2010).

The information on the theory of algebraic groups which we use in the following discussion can be found, for example, in [7, Chapters 1 and 3].

Let p be a prime and $\overline{G}$ be a connected reductive algebraic group over algebraic closure $\overline{F}_p$ of the field $GF(p)$. Surjective endomorphism σ of $\overline{G}$ is called a Frobenius map, if the fixed point group $\overline{G}_\sigma = \{g \in \overline{G} | g^\sigma = g\}$ is finite. In this situation the finite group $\overline{G}_\sigma$ is called a finite group of Lie type. maximal closed connected diagonalizable subgroup of an algebraic group is called a maximal torus. A subgroup of an algebraic group is called reductive, if its unipotent radical is equal to identity. A reductive subgroup is called a reductive subgroup of maximal rank, if it contains some maximal torus. *A reductive subgroup of maximal rank* of $\overline{G}_\sigma$ is a subgroup of the form $(\overline{G}_1)_\sigma$, where $\overline{G}_1$ is a σ-stable reductive subgroup of maximal rank of $\overline{G}$.

If $g \in \overline{G}_\sigma$ then g can be uniquely presented as a product $g_p g_{p'}$, where $g_{p'}$ is a semisimple element and g_p is a unipotent element of $C_{\overline{G}_\sigma}(g_{p'})$. Thus to describe the composite part of spectrum it is sufficient for each semisimple element of $\overline{G}_\sigma$ to find the maximal element of $\omega_p(C_{\overline{G}_\sigma}(s))$. In solving this problem, we use an approach developed in [5, 6]. Now we will formulate results from these works that we will need.

Let $s \in \overline{G}_\sigma$ be a semisimple element. Denote by $C_{\overline{G}}(s)^0$ the connected component of centralizer $C_{\overline{G}}(s)$ containing identity. Subgroup $C_{\overline{G}}(s)^0$ is a reductive subgroup of maximal rank of $\overline{G}$. Besides, subgroup $C_{\overline{G}}(s)^0$ contains s and all unipotent elements of $C_{\overline{G}}(s)$. Therefore, reductive subgroup $(C_{\overline{G}}(s)^0)_\sigma$ of $\overline{G}_\sigma$ contains s and all unipotent elements of $C_{\overline{G}_\sigma}(s)$. Thus, the problem is reduced to the following one: for every reductive subgroup of maximal rank of $\overline{G}_\sigma$ to find the period of its center and the unipotent part of spectrum. For a reductive subgroup G_1, we will denote by $\eta(G_1)$ the product of the period of its center and the maximal element of $\omega_p(G_1)$.

Let $\overline{T}$ be a maximal torus of $\overline{G}$ and Φ be a root system of $\overline{G}$ with respect to $\overline{T}$. Subset Φ_1 of Φ is called *a subsystem* if it is a root system itself. Subsystem is called *closed* if for every $r_1, r_2 \in \Phi_1$ inclusion $r_1 + r_2 \in \Phi$ yields inclusion $r_1 + r_2 \in \Phi_1$. For root $r \in \Phi$, denote by $\overline{U}_r$ the root subgroup corresponding to r. If $\overline{G}$ is a classical group distinct from symplectic group over a filed of characteristic 2, then reductive subgroups containing $\overline{T}$ have form $\langle \overline{T}, \overline{U}_r, r \in \Phi_1 \rangle$, where Φ_1 is a closed subsystem of Φ. Root systems of reductive subgroups of $Sp_{2n}(\overline{F}_2)$ can be not closed subsystems of its root system. However, group $Sp_{2n}(\overline{F}_2)$ is isomorphic to $\Omega_{2n+1}(\overline{F}_2)$ and these symplectic groups can be considered as orthogonal groups. Hence, in all cases witch are considered in the paper we can assume that root systems of reductive subgroups are closed subsystems of Φ. Henceforth, when we say "subsystem" we mean closed subsystem.

Let $\overline{G}_1$ be a σ-stable reductive subgroup of $\overline{G}$. Then $\overline{G}_1$ contains a σ-

stable maximal torus $\overline{T}$. Let $\overline{G}_1^g$ be also σ-stable. Then $\overline{G}_1^g$ contains a σ-stable maximal torus. Since any two maximal tori of $\overline{G}_1^g$ are conjugate, one can assume that torus $\overline{T}^g$ is σ-stable. Then $g^\sigma g^{-1} \in N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{T}) \cap N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{G}_1)$. Let W be the Weyl group of $\overline{G}$ and W_1 be the Weyl group of $\overline{G}_1$. Denote by π the canonical homomorphism from $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{T})$ to W. Then? as it is shown in the proof of Proposition 2 from [5], $\pi(N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{T}) \cap N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{G}_1)) = N_W(W_1)$.

Since $\overline{T}$ is stable under the action of σ, map σ acts on $W = N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{T})/\overline{T}$. Since W_1 is also σ-stable, σ acts on $N_W(W_1)/W_1$. Two elements W_1w_1 and W_1w_2 of $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ are called *σ-conjugate*, if there is $w \in W$ such that $W_1w_2 = (W_1w)^\sigma(W_1w_1)(W_1w)^{-1}$.

Lemma 1.1. [5, Proposition 3] *Let $\overline{G}$ be a connected reductive algebraic group and σ be a Frobenius map of $\overline{G}$. Let $\overline{G}_1$ be a σ-stable reductive subgroup of maximal rank of $\overline{G}$. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be the set of all σ-stable subgroups conjugate to $\overline{G}_1$ in $\overline{G}$ and $\mathfrak{C}/\overline{G}_\sigma$ be the set of $\overline{G}_\sigma$-orbits in $\mathfrak{C}$. The map π induces a bijection between $\mathfrak{C}/\overline{G}_\sigma$ and classes of σ-conjugate elements of $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ by mapping $\overline{G}_1^g$ to $W_1\pi(g^\sigma g^{-1})$.*

Lemma 1.2. *Let $\overline{G}$ be a connected reductive algebraic group and σ be a Frobenius map of $\overline{G}$. Let $\overline{G}_1$ be a σ-stable reductive subgroup of maximal rank of $\overline{G}$. Let $\overline{G}_1^g$ be also σ-stable. Denote $n = g^\sigma g^{-1}$. Then $(\overline{G}_1^g)_\sigma = ((\overline{G}_1)_{\sigma\circ n})^g$. Moreover, for arbitrary $n \in N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{T}) \cap N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{G}_1)$ group $(\overline{G}_1)_{\sigma\circ n}$ is conjugate in $\overline{G}$ to some reductive subgroup of $\overline{G}_\sigma$.*

Proof. Let $h \in \overline{G}_1$. We have $h \in (\overline{G}_1)_{\sigma\circ n}$ if and only if $h^{\sigma\circ n} = h$. By substituting the expression for n we obtain $h^{\sigma\circ g^\sigma g^{-1}} = h$. The last is equivalent to $h^{\sigma\circ g^\sigma} = h^g$. Whence it follows that $(h^g)^\sigma = h^g$, thus $h^g \in (\overline{G}_1^g)_\sigma$. Therefore, h lies in $(\overline{G}_1)_{\sigma\circ n}$ if and only if h^g lies in $(\overline{G}_1^g)_\sigma$. Hence $(\overline{G}_1^g)_\sigma = ((\overline{G}_1)_{\sigma\circ n})^g$. By Lang — Steinberg theorem (see e.g. [8, Theorem 10.1]) for every element n of $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{T}) \cap N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{G}_1)$ there exists an element g of $\overline{G}$ such that $n = g^\sigma g^{-1}$. Thus the second assertion of the lemma follows from the listed equivalences.

Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2 implies that a description of structure of reductive subgroups of a finite group $\overline{G}_\sigma$ can be obtained by using the following scheme. We choose some set $\mathfrak{M}$ containing the full system of representatives of conjugacy classes of σ-stable reductive subgroups of maximal rank of $\overline{G}$. For every subgroup $\overline{G}_1$ in $\mathfrak{M}$ we describe the structure of group of the form $(\overline{G}_1)_{\sigma\circ n}$, where $W_1\pi(n)$ runs through the full system of representatives of conjugacy classes of $N_W(W_1)/W_1$. In what follows the set $\mathfrak{M}$ will be always a set of reductive subgroups containing fixed σ-stable maximal torus of $\overline{G}$.

Lemma 1.3. [9, Proposition 0.5] *Let $\overline{G}$ be a simple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic p and let σ be a Frobenius* *map of $\overline{G}$. Then p-period of $\overline{G}_\sigma$ is equal to the minimal power of p greater then the maximal height of a root in root system of $\overline{G}$.*

Remind that the maximal height of a root in systems of type A_n is equal to $n-1$, in systems of types B_n and C_n is equal to $2n-1$, and in system of type D_n is equal to $2n-3$.

For a finite group G denote by $\exp(G)$ the period of G. For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ denote by $|\lambda|$ the sum $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k$, the number k is called the length of λ. For a prime p denote by $k_{\{p\}}$ the maximal power of p dividing k. For naturals n_1, $n_2, \dots, n_s$ denote $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s)$ their greatest common divisor and by $[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s]$ their least common multiple. Besides, the least common multiple of naturals n_i, where i runs through some set of indices, is denoted by $\underset{i}{\mathrm{lcm}}\{n_i\}$. Denote by $\mathrm{diag}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k)$ a block-diagonal matrix with blocks A_1, $A_2, \dots, A_k$ and by $\mathrm{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ a diagonal matrix with numbers a_1, $a_2, \dots, a_k$ on the diagonal. Denote by E_k the identity matrix of size $k \times k$.

We will also need the following lemma about abelian groups.

Lemma 1.4. *Let C_i, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$, be cyclic groups and $B = C_1 \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_s$, where $s > 1$. Let A be a subgroup of B of simple order p such that $A \cap C_i = 1$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. Then* $\exp(B) = \exp(B/A)$.

Proof. To prove the lemma it suffices to show that $\exp(B)_{\{p\}} = \exp(B/A)_{\{p\}}$. Let j be such that $|C_j|_{\{p\}} \geqslant |C_i|_{\{p\}}$ for all i. We have $C_jA/A \simeq C_j/(C_j \cap A) \simeq C_j$. The lemma is proved.

The information about linear and unitary groups used in the paper can be found in [6] or [1].

§ 2. Spectra of symplectic groups

In this section, $\overline{G} = Sp_{2n}(\overline{F}_p)$ is a symplectic group associated with form $x_1y_{-1} - x_{-1}y_1 + \ldots + x_ny_{-n} - x_{-n}y_n$, where $n \geqslant 1$. The map σ acting on $\overline{G}$ as follows: matrix (a_{ij}) under the action of σ transforms into matrix (a_{ij}^q), where q is a power of p, is a Frobenius map of $\overline{G}$. Moreover, $\overline{G}_\sigma = Sp_{2n}(q)$ and $\overline{G}_\sigma/Z(\overline{G}_\sigma) = PSp_{2n}(q)$. Groups $Sp_2(q)$ and $PSp_2(q)$ are isomorphic to groups $SL_2(q)$ and $PSL_2(q)$, description of their spectra can be found in [1].

If $p = 2$ then, as it was mentioned above, $PSp_{2n}(q) \simeq \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$. In this case it is more convenient for us to consider this groups as orthogonal, and a description of their spectra will be given in the following sections. In this section we assume that characteristic p is odd.

Rows and columns of a symplectic $2n$-matrix are numerated in the order $1, 2, \dots, n, -1, -2, \dots, -n$. Denote by $E_{i,j}$, where $i, j \in \{\pm1, \pm2, \dots, \pm n\}$, a matrix containing identity at its (i,j)th place and containing zeros at other places.

The maximal torus $\overline{T}$ of $\overline{G}$ consisting of all matrices of the form $\mathrm{diag}(D, D^{-1})$, where D is a nondegenerate diagonal matrix of size $n \times n$, is σ-stable. Group $\overline{N} = N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{T})$ is a subgroup of a group of monomial matrices, thus, there exists a natural embedding of W into the group of permutation of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n, -1, -2, \ldots, -n\}$. The image of the Weyl group $W \simeq \overline{N}/\overline{T}$ under this embedding coincides with the group Sl_n of permutation τ such that the equality $\tau(-i) = -\tau(i)$ holds. The map σ act trivially on W, therefore, σ-conjugacy classes in W coincide with usual conjugacy classes.

If we drop signs from elements of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n, -1, -2, \ldots, -n\}$ we obtain a homomorphism from Sl_n to Sym_n. Assume $\tau \in Sl_n$ is mapped into a cycle $(i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k)$ then τ fixes all elements distinct from $\pm i_1, \pm i_2, \ldots, \pm i_k$. If $\tau^k(i_1) = i_1$ then τ is called *a positive cycle of length* k; if $\tau^k(i_1) = -i_1$ then τ is called *a negative cycle of length* k. The image of an arbitrary element τ of Sl_n can be uniquely expressed as a product of disjoint cycles, and in accordance with this factorization, τ can be uniquely expressed as a product of disjoint positive and negative cycles. Lengths of the cycles together with their signs give a set of integers, called the cycle-type of τ. Two elements of Sl_n are conjugate if they have the same cycle-type. We will denote the positive cycle of length k by $(i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k)$ and negative — by $\overline{(i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k)}$.

The root system Φ of $\overline{G}$ has type C_n and can be represented as follows. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be a n orthonormal basis of a Euclidean space of dimension n. Then $\Phi = \{\pm e_i \pm e_j, \pm 2e_i, i \neq j, 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n\}$. An element $w \in W$ acts on the basis vectors in the following way: $w(e_i) = e_j$, if $w(i) = j$, and $w(e_i) = -e_j$, if $w(i) = -j$.

Define elements $u_r(t)$, where $r \in \Phi$ and $t \in \overline{F}_p$, as follows

$$u_r(t) = E_{2n} + t(E_{i,j} - E_{-j,-i}), \text{ if } r = e_i - e_j,$$

$$u_r(t) = E_{2n} + t(E_{i,-j} - E_{j,-i}), \text{ if } r = e_i + e_j,$$

$$u_r(t) = E_{2n} + t(E_{-i,j} - E_{-j,i}), \text{ if } r = -e_i - e_j,$$

$$u_r(t) = E_{2n} + tE_{i,-i}, \text{ if } r = 2e_i,$$

$$u_r(t) = E_{2n} + tE_{-i,i}, \text{ if } r = -2e_i.$$

Then a root subgroup $\overline{U}_r$ (with respect to torus $\overline{T}$) consists of elements $u_r(t)$, $t \in \overline{F}_p$ (see e.g. the proof of Theorem 11.3.2 from [4]). Remark that all root subgroups are σ-stable. Thus all reductive subgroups containing $\overline{T}$ are σ-stable. In addition, an arbitrary reductive subgroup of maximal rank is conjugate to some reductive subgroup containing $\overline{T}$. Therefore to describe the structure of reductive subgroups of $\overline{G}_\sigma$ it suffices for every reductive subgroup

$\overline{G}_1$ containing $\overline{T}$, to describe a structure of a group of the form $(\overline{G}_1)_{\sigma\circ n}$, where $W_1\pi(n)$ runs trough the full system of representatives of conjugacy classes of $N_W(W_1)/W_1$.

Subsystems Φ_1 of Φ can be obtained in the following way (see [6, section 3]). Let λ and μ be partitions with $|\lambda|+|\mu|=n$. Let $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_l)$ and $\mu=(\mu_1,\mu_2,\ldots,\mu_m)$. Let $I_1,I_2,\ldots,I_l,J_1,J_2,\ldots,J_m$ be pairwise non-intersecting subsets of the set $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ such that $|I_a|=\lambda_a$ for all $1\leqslant a\leqslant l$ and $|J_b|=\mu_b$ for all $1\leqslant b\leqslant m$. Define a system Φ_1 to be the union

$$\bigcup_{1\leqslant a\leqslant l}\{e_i-e_j : i\neq j, i,j\in I_a\}\cup\bigcup_{1\leqslant b\leqslant m}\{\pm e_i\pm e_j, \pm 2e_i : i\neq j, i,j\in J_b\}.$$

Then Φ_1 is a root subsystem of Φ of type

$$A_{\lambda_1-1}\times A_{\lambda_2-1}\times\cdots\times A_{\lambda_l-1}\times C_{\mu_1}\times C_{\mu_2}\times\cdots\times C_{\mu_m}$$

and every subsystem of Φ is equivalent to some system of such form under the action of W.

For a subsystem Φ_1 of Φ, define an index set I_{Φ_1} using the following rule: indices i and $-i$ belong to I_{Φ_1} if and only if Φ_1 contains a root not orthogonal to e_i. We will denote by $\overline{T}_{\Phi_1}$ a subgroup of $\overline{T}$ consisting of all diagonal matrices which have 1's at places with numbers not in I_{Φ_1} along the diagonal. Denote the group $\langle\overline{T}_{\Phi_1},\overline{U}_r, r\in\Phi_1\rangle$ by $\overline{G}_{\Phi_1}$.

Let Φ_1 be an indecomposable subsystem and $k=|I_{\Phi_1}|/2$. If Φ_1 contains only short roots, then it has type A_{k-1}. By the choice of $\overline{T}$ and the form of root elements every matrix in $\overline{G}_{\Phi_1}$ is block-diagonal with blocks A and $A^{-\top}$ along the diagonal, where A is a matrix of size $n\times n$ and $-\top$ denotes a transpose-inverse map. After a suitable renumbering of rows and columns the matrix A is also block-diagonal with blocks E_{n-k} and B along the diagonal, where B is a matrix from $GL_k(\overline{F}_p)$. If A runs through all root elements of $\overline{G}_{\Phi_1}$, then B runs through all root elements of $GL_k(\overline{F}_p)$. In addition, if A runs through $\overline{T}_{\Phi_1}$, then corresponding matrix B runs through the maximal torus of $GL_k(\overline{F}_p)$ consisting of all diagonal matrices. Since $GL_k(\overline{F}_p)$ is generated by all its root subgroups and an arbitrary maximal torus, group $\overline{G}_{\Phi_1}$ is isomorphic to $GL_k(\overline{F}_p)$. If Φ_1 contains long roots, then it has type C_k. By applying arguments similar to those above, one can show that $\overline{G}_{\Phi_1}$ is isomorphic to $Sp_{2k}(\overline{F}_p)$ is this case.

Let $\overline{G}_1$ be a σ-stable reductive subgroup of maximal rank with root system Φ_1 of the form given above. Denote by n_i the number of sets of cardinality i among the sets I_a and by m_j the number of sets of cardinality j among the sets J_b. Then $\overline{G}_1$ is isomorphic to direct product

$$\prod_i GL_i(\overline{F}_p)^{n_i}\times\prod_j Sp_{2j}(\overline{F}_p)^{m_j},$$

and $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ is isomorphic to direct product

$$\prod_i Sl_{n_i} \times \prod_j Sym_{m_j}$$

(see [6, Section 3]).

Let us show that for every element $\overline{w}$ of $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ there exists a permutation matrix $\phi(\overline{w})$ such that groups, corresponding to the conjugacy class of $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ containing $\overline{w}$, are conjugate in $\overline{G}$ to group $(\overline{G}_1)_{\sigma\circ\phi(\overline{w})}$. Denote by ψ the natural homomorphism from $N_W(W_1)$ to $N_W(W_1)/W_1$.

Let $\overline{w}$ be a positive cycle of length k from direct factor Sl_{n_i}. Then $\overline{G}_1$ has a direct factor isomorphic to a direct power of $GL_i(\overline{F}_p)^k$ consisting, after suitable renumbering of rows and columns, of block-diagonal matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix}
X_1 &&&&&&& \\
& \ddots &&&&&& \\
&& X_k &&&&& \\
&&& E_{n-ki} &&&& \\
&&&& X_1^{-T} &&& \\
&&&&& \ddots && \\
&&&&&& X_k^{-T} & \\
&&&&&&& E_{n-ki}
\end{pmatrix},$$

where $X_j \in GL_i(\overline{F}_p)$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$. The complete inverse $\overline{w}$ under ψ contains a permutation w equal to a product of positive cycles $(1, i+1, 2i+1, \ldots, (k-1)i+1)(2, i+2, 2i+2, \ldots, (k-1)i+2)\ldots(i, 2i, 3i, \ldots, ki)$. Let $\phi(\overline{w})$ be the permutation matrix corresponding to w. The matrix $\phi(\overline{w})$ is symplectic and lies in $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{G}_1) \cap N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{T})$. Let $\overline{w}$ be a negative cycle of length k. Then $\overline{G}_1$ also contains a subgroup $GL_i(\overline{F}_p)^k$ of the form described above. The inverse image of $\overline{w}$ under ψ contains a permutation w equal to a product of negative cycles $\overline{(1, i+1, 2i+1, \ldots, (k-1)i+1)}\ldots\overline{(i, 2i, 3i, \ldots, ki)}$. Let $\phi(\overline{w})$ be the permutation matrix corresponding to w. The matrix $\phi(\overline{w})$ is not symplectic. Denote by d the matrix $\mathrm{diag}(E_{n+(k-1)i}, -E_i, E_{n-ki})$. Then the matrix $d\phi(\overline{w})$ is symplectic, lies in $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{G}_1) \cap N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{T})$ and $\pi(d\phi(\overline{w})) = w$. Moreover, the action of $\phi(\overline{w})$ and $d\phi(\overline{w})$ on $\overline{G}_1$ coincide. Thus, $(\overline{G}_1)_{\sigma\circ d\phi(\overline{w})} = (\overline{G}_1)_{\sigma\circ\phi(\overline{w})}$. Let $\overline{w}$ be a cycle of length k lying in a direct factor Sym_{m_i} of $N_W(W_1)/W_1$. Then $\overline{G}_1$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $Sp_{2i}(\overline{F}_p)^k$, consisting, after suitable renumbering of rows and columns, of block-diagonal matrices of the form $\mathrm{diag}(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k, E_{2n-2ki})$, $X_j \in Sp_{2i}(\overline{F}_p)$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$. The matrix $\phi(\overline{w})$ is defined in the same way as in the positive cycle case. By extending ϕ to $N_W(W_1)/W_1$, we obtain the desirable map.

The conjugacy classes of $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ can be determined by collections of partitions. Indeed, a conjugacy class of Sym_{m_j} is determined by a partition $\rho^{(j)}$ of m_j; a conjugacy class of Sl_{n_i} is determined by a pair of partitions $\xi^{(i)}$ and $\zeta^{(i)}$ with $|\xi^{(i)}|+|\zeta^{(i)}| = n_i$, where parts of $\xi^{(i)}$ give lengths of positive cycles and parts of $\zeta^{(i)}$ give lengths of negative cycles. We have

$$\sum_i i(|\xi^{(i)}| + |\zeta^{(i)}|) + \sum_j j|\rho^{(j)}| = n. \tag{1}$$

We carry out the description of reductive subgroups of maximal rank of finite group $\overline{G}_\sigma$ according to the following scheme. We shall choose a collection of partitions $\rho^{(i)}, \xi^{(i)}, \zeta^{(i)}$ satisfying (1). It determines up to equivalence under the action of W the root system of $\overline{G}_1$: the sum $|\xi^{(i)}| + |\zeta^{(i)}|$ determines the number of subsystems of type A_{i-1} and number $|\rho^{(i)}|$ determines the number of subsystems of type C_i. It also determines the conjugacy class of $N_W(W_1)/W_1$. We shall choose a representative of this class $\overline{w}$ and describe a structure of group $(\overline{G}_1)_{\sigma\circ\phi(\overline{w})}$.

The element $\overline{w}$ can be presented as a product of disjoint cycles, and $\overline{G}_1$ can be presented as a direct product of subgroups such that the image of each cycle under ϕ acts nontrivially exactly on one factor. Thus, to describe the structure of reductive subgroups it suffices, for every cycle, to describe the structure of corresponding subgroup. Group $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ contains three types of cycles: positive and negative cycles from factors Sl_{n_i} and cycles from factors Sym_{m_i}.

Let $\xi_j^{(i)}$ be a part of partition $\xi^{(i)}$. Then the decomposition of $\overline{w}$ into disjoint cycles contains a positive cycle of length $\xi_j^{(i)}$. This implies that $\overline{G}_1$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $GL_i(\overline{F}_p)^{\xi_j^{(i)}}$ consisting of block-diagonal matrices of the form

$$\mathrm{diag}(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{\xi_j^{(i)}}, E, X_1^{-\top}, X_2^{-\top}, \ldots, X_{\xi_j^{(i)}}^{-\top}, E),$$

where $X_k \in GL_i(\overline{F}_p)$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant \xi_j^{(i)}$ and E is an identity matrix of suitable size. We have

$$\sigma \circ \phi(\overline{w})(\mathrm{diag}(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{\xi_j^{(i)}}, E, X_1^{-\top}, X_2^{-\top}, \ldots, X_{\xi_j^{(i)}}^{-\top}, E)) =$$
$$= \mathrm{diag}(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{\xi_j^{(i)}}, E, X_1^{-\top}, X_2^{-\top}, \ldots, X_{\xi_j^{(i)}}^{-\top}, E).$$

Rewrite

$$\sigma(\mathrm{diag}(X_{\xi_j^{(i)}}, X_1, \ldots, X_{\xi_j^{(i)}-1}, E, X_{\xi_j^{(i)}}^{-\top}, X_1^{-\top}, \ldots, X_{\xi_j^{(i)}-1}^{-\top}, E)) =$$
$$= \mathrm{diag}(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{\xi_j^{(i)}}, E, X_1^{-\top}, X_2^{-\top}, \ldots, X_{\xi_j^{(i)}}^{-\top}, E),$$

this yields the system of equalities $X_2 = X_1^{\sigma}$, $X_3 = X_2^{\sigma}, \ldots, X_1 = X^{\sigma}_{\xi_j^{(i)}}$. This system gives equation $X_1 = X_1^{\sigma^{\xi_j^{(i)}}}$, which is true if and only if X_1 lies in $GL_i(q^{\xi_j^{(i)}})$. Thus, in matrices of group $(\overline{G}_1)_{\sigma\circ\phi(\overline{w})}$, parts $\xi_j^{(i)}$ correspond to blocks of the form $\operatorname{diag}(Y, Y^{-\top})$, where

$$Y = \operatorname{diag}(X, X^{\sigma}, \ldots, X^{\sigma^{(\xi_j^{(i)}-1)}}),\ X \in GL_i(q^{\xi_j^{(i)}}).$$

Denote the group of matrices of the form $\operatorname{diag}(Y, Y^{-\top})$ by H_{ij}^{ξ}.

Let $\zeta_j^{(i)}$ be a part of partition $\zeta^{(i)}$. Then the decomposition of $\overline{w}$ into disjoint cycles contains a negative cycle of length $\zeta_j^{(i)}$. This implies that $\overline{G}_1$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $GL_i(\overline{F}_p)^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}$ consisting of block-diagonal matrices of the form

$$\operatorname{diag}(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{\zeta_j^{(i)}}, E, X_1^{-\top}, X_2^{-\top}, \ldots, X^{-\top}_{\zeta_j^{(i)}}, E),$$

where $X_k \in GL_i(\overline{F}_p)$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant \zeta_j^{(i)}$ and E is an identity matrix of suitable size. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma\circ\phi(\overline{w})(\operatorname{diag}(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{\zeta_j^{(i)}}, E, X_1^{-\top}, X_2^{-\top}, \ldots, X^{-\top}_{\zeta_j^{(i)}}, E)) =\\ &= \operatorname{diag}(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{\zeta_j^{(i)}}, E, X_1^{-\top}, X_2^{-\top}, \ldots, X^{-\top}_{\zeta_j^{(i)}}, E).\end{aligned}$$

Rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma(\operatorname{diag}(X^{-\top}_{\zeta_j^{(i)}}, X_1, \ldots, X_{\zeta_j^{(i)}-1}, E, X_{\zeta_j^{(i)}}, X_1^{-\top}, \ldots, X^{-\top}_{\zeta_j^{(i)}-1}, E)) =\\ &= \operatorname{diag}(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{\zeta_j^{(i)}}, E, X_1^{-\top}, X_2^{-\top}, \ldots, X^{-\top}_{\zeta_j^{(i)}}, E),\end{aligned}$$

this yields the system of equalities $X_2 = X_1^{\sigma}$, $X_3 = X_2^{\sigma}, \ldots, X_1 = (X^{-\top}_{\zeta_j^{(i)}})^{\sigma}$. This system gives an equation $X_1 = (X_1^{-\top})^{\sigma^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}}$, which is true if and only if X_1 lies in $GU_i(q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}})$. Thus, in matrices of group $(\overline{G}_1)_{\sigma\circ\phi(\overline{w})}$ parts $\zeta_j^{(i)}$ correspond to blocks of the form $\operatorname{diag}(Y, Y^{-\top})$, where

$$Y = \operatorname{diag}(X, X^{\sigma}, \ldots, X^{\sigma^{(\zeta_j^{(i)}-1)}}),\ X \in GU_i(q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}).$$

Denote the group of matrices of the form $\operatorname{diag}(Y, Y^{-\top})$ by H_{ij}^{ζ}.

By similar arguments we can show that parts $\rho_j^{(i)}$ of partition $\rho^{(i)}$ correspond to blocks of the form

$$Y = \operatorname{diag}(X, X^{\sigma}, X^{\sigma^2}, \ldots, X^{\sigma^{(\rho_j^{(i)}-1)}}),\ X \in Sp_{2i}(q^{\rho_j^{(i)}}).$$

Denote the group of matrices of such form by H^{ρ}_{ij}.

Observe that groups H^{ξ}_{1j} and H^{ζ}_{1j} consist of diagonal matrices. Moreover, a group of diagonal matrices with blocks A_j and B_j along the diagonal, where $A_j \in H^{\xi}_{1j}$ and $B_j \in H^{\zeta}_{1j}$, in contained in $Sp_{2n_1}(\overline{F}_p)$ and conjugate in it to a maximal torus of $Sp_{2n_1}(q)$, corresponding to the pair of partitions $\xi^{(1)}$ and $\zeta^{(1)}$ (see [2, Proposition 3.1]). Thus, we proved

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\overline{G}^g_1$ be a σ-stable subgroup of $\overline{G}$. Let $w = \pi(g^{\sigma}g^{-1})$ and coset W_1w lie in the conjugacy class corresponding to a collection of partitions $\rho^{(i)}, \xi^{(i)}, \zeta^{(i)}$. Then $(\overline{G}^g_1)_{\sigma}$ is isomorphic to group G_1 consisting of all block-diagonal matrices with blocks A_{ij}, B_{ij}, C_{ij} along the diagonal, where $A_{ij} \in H^{\xi}_{ij}$, $B_{ij} \in H^{\zeta}_{ij}$ and $C_{ij} \in H^{\rho}_{ij}$. In particular,*

$$\overline{G}^g_1 \simeq \prod_{i,j} GL_i(q^{\xi^{(i)}_j}) \times \prod_{i,j} GU_i(q^{\zeta^{(i)}_j}) \times \prod_{i,j} Sp_{2i}(q^{\rho^{(i)}_j}).$$

Since the center of $GL_i(q^{\xi^{(i)}_j})$ is a cyclic group of order $q^{\xi^{(i)}_j} - 1$, the center of $GU_i(q^{\zeta^{(i)}_j})$ ia a cyclic group of order $q^{\zeta^{(i)}_j} + 1$, the center of group G_1 from the statement of Proposition 2.1 is

$$\prod_{i,j}(q^{\xi^{(i)}_j} - 1) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\zeta^{(i)}_j} + 1) \times \prod_{i,j} Z(Sp_{2i}(q^{\rho^{(i)}_j})). \qquad (2)$$

The following assertion gives a description of composite part of spectrum of $Sp_{2n}(q)$.

Theorem 1. *Let $G \simeq Sp_{2n}(q)$, where $n \geqslant 2$ and q is a power of add prime p. Assume that for every natural k such that $2n_0 = p^{k-1} + 1 < 2n$ and for every pair of partitions $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_a)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_b)$ such that $n - n_0 = |\alpha| + |\beta|$, the set $\nu(G)$ contains a number*

$$p^k[q^{\alpha_1} - 1, q^{\alpha_2} - 1, \ldots, q^{\alpha_a} - 1, q^{\beta_1} + 1, q^{\beta_2} + 1, \ldots, q^{\beta_b} + 1],$$

contains $2p^k$ if $2n = p^{k-1} + 1$ for some $k > 1$ and does not contain any other number. Then $\mu_m(G) \subseteq \nu(G) \subseteq \omega(G)$.

Proof. We shall prove the inclusion $\nu(G) \subseteq \omega(G)$ first. To do this we for every possible k and partitions α and β shall construct a reductive subgroup H of G such that $\eta(H)$ is equal to corresponding element of $\nu(G)$. Let $I = \{1, 2, \ldots, n_0\}$. Put $\Phi_1 = \{\pm e_i \pm e_j, \pm 2e_i, i \neq j, i, j \in I\}$. Then Φ_1 is a subsystem of Φ of type C_{n_0}. Define $\overline{G}_1 = \langle \overline{T}, \overline{U}_r, r \in \Phi_1\rangle$. Let W_1 a the Weyl group of $\overline{G}_1$. Group $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ is isomorphic to Sl_{n-n_0}. Consider the conjugacy class of $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ corresponding to a pair of partitions α and β, where parts of α_i give lengths of positive cycles and parts of β_j give lengths of negative cycles. Group G contains a reductive subgroup of maximal rank $(\overline{G}_1^g)_\sigma$ which structure is determined by this class. Let a be the number of elements in α and b be the number of elements in β. By Proposition 2.1

$$(\overline{G}_1^g)_\sigma \simeq Sp_{2n_0}(q) \times (q^{\alpha_1}-1) \times \cdots \times (q^{\alpha_a}-1) \times (q^{\beta_1}+1) \times \cdots \times (q^{\beta_b}+1).$$

By Lemma 1.3 the maximal order of unipotent element in $Sp_{2n_0}(q)$ is equal to p^k. Therefore, $\eta((\overline{G}_1^g)_\sigma) = p^k[q^{\alpha_1}-1, q^{\alpha_2}-1, \ldots, q^{\alpha_a}-1, q^{\beta_1}+1, q^{\beta_2}+1, \ldots, q^{\beta_b}+1]$.

Moreover, if $2n = p^{k-1}+1$, then p^k is the maximal power of p lying in $\omega(G)$, and p^k is not contained in spectrum of any proper reductive subgroup. Thus, the number $\eta(G)$ equal to $2p^k$ lies in $\mu_m(G)$ and, therefore, must lie in $\nu(G)$. If $2n \neq p^{k-1}+1$ for every $k \geqslant 1$, then $\eta(G)$ does not lie in $\mu(G)$ and divides $\eta(H)$ for some proper reductive subgroup of G.

It remains to prove the inclusion $\mu_m(G) \subseteq \nu(G)$. To do this we shall show that for every proper reductive subgroup of maximal rank H, which is not a maximal torus, there exists an element of $\nu(G)$ divided by $\eta(H)$. Let the structure of H be determined by a collection of partitions $\xi^{(i)}, \zeta^{(i)}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant a$ and $\rho^{(i)}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant b$. Since H is not a maximal torus, one of inequalities $a > 1$ or $b > 0$ hods. By formula (2) the center of H is isomorphic to the direct product

$$\prod_{i,j}(q^{\xi_j^{(i)}}-1) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}+1) \times \prod_{i,j} Z(Sp_{2i}(q^{\rho_j^{(i)}})).$$

Put $e = \exp(\prod_{i,j} Z(Sp_{2i}(q^{\rho_j^{(i)}})))$. We have

$$\eta(H) = p^k[\operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\xi_j^{(i)}}-1\}, \operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}+1\}, e]$$

here k is such that p^k is the minimal power of p greater then $\max\{a-1, 2b-1\}$, i. e. the greatest power of p lying n $\omega(H)$. Let $2n_0 = p^{k-1}+1$. Then

$$n_0 = \frac{p^{k-1}+1}{2} \leqslant \frac{\max\{a-1, 2b-1\}+1}{2} = \max\{a/2, b\}.$$

By equality (1) we have

$$|\xi^{(1)}| + |\zeta^{(1)}| + 2(|\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}|) + \cdots + a(|\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}|) +$$

$$+|\rho^{(1)}| + 2|\rho^{(2)}| + \cdots + b|\rho^{(b)}| = n.$$

Put

$$x = |\xi^{(1)}| + |\zeta^{(1)}| + |\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}| + \cdots + |\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}| + n_0.$$

Let us show that $x \leqslant n$. By substituting the expressions for x and n, we obtain the inequality

$$|\xi^{(1)}| + |\zeta^{(1)}| + |\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}| + \cdots + |\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}| + n_0 \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant |\xi^{(1)}| + |\zeta^{(1)}| + 2(|\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}|) + \cdots + a(|\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}|) +$$
$$+ |\rho^{(1)}| + 2|\rho^{(2)}| + \cdots + b|\rho^{(b)}|.$$

Rewrite

$$n_0 \leqslant |\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}| + \cdots + (a-1)(|\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}|) +$$
$$+ |\rho^{(1)}| + 2|\rho^{(2)}| + \cdots + b|\rho^{(b)}|.$$

If $\max\{a/2, b\} = b$, then $n_0 \leqslant b|\rho^{(b)}|$ and, therefore, $x \leqslant n$. Assume that $\max\{a/2, b\} = a/2$. Then $a \geqslant 2$ and required inequality is follows from inequalities $n_0 \leqslant a/2 \leqslant (a-1)(|\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}|)$.

Define partitions α and β as follows:

$$\alpha = (\xi_1^{(1)}, \xi_2^{(1)}, \ldots, \xi_1^{(2)}, \xi_2^{(2)}, \ldots, \xi_1^{(a)}, \ldots, n - x),$$

$$\beta = (\zeta_1^{(1)}, \zeta_2^{(1)}, \ldots, \zeta_1^{(2)}, \zeta_2^{(2)}, \ldots, \zeta_1^{(a)}, \ldots).$$

Let Φ_1 be a subsystem of Φ of type C_{n_0}. Group $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ is isomorphic to Sl_{n-n_0}. Choose in $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ the conjugacy class corresponding to the pair of partitions α and β, where parts of α give the lengths of positive cycles and parts of β give the lengths of negative cycles. By Proposition 2.1 a reductive subgroup G_1 with the root system Φ_1 corresponding to this class is isomorphic to

$$Sp_{2n_0}(q) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\xi_j^{(i)}} - 1) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}} + 1) \times (q^{n-x} - 1).$$

Hence

$$\eta(G_1) = p^k[2, \operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\xi_j^{(i)}} - 1\}, \operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}} + 1\}, q^{n-x} - 1].$$

This number is divided by $\eta(H)$ and lies in $\nu(G)$. The theorem is proved.

Theorem 2. *Let $G \simeq PSp_{2n}(q)$, where $n \geqslant 2$ and q is a power of odd prime p. Assume that for every natural k such that $2n_0 = p^{k-1} + 1 < 2n$ and for every pair of partritions $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_a)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_b)$ such that $n - n_0 = |\alpha| + |\beta|$ the set $\nu(G)$ contains a number*

$$p^k[q^{\alpha_1} - 1, q^{\alpha_2} - 1, \ldots, q^{\alpha_a} - 1, q^{\beta_1} + 1, q^{\beta_2} + 1, \ldots, q^{\beta_b} + 1],$$

and contains no other numbers. Then $\mu_m(G) \subseteq \nu(G) \subseteq \omega(G)$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 1 implies that the set $\nu(Sp_{2n}(q))$ consists of numbers $\eta(H)$, where H runs through a set of reductive subgroups of the form

$$Sp_{2n_0}(q)\times(q^{\alpha_1}-1)\times(q^{\alpha_2}-1)\times\cdots\times(q^{\alpha_a}-1)\times(q^{\beta_1}+1)\times(q^{\beta_2}+1)\times\cdots\times(q^{\beta_b}+1),$$

where $2n_0=p^{k-1}+1<2n$. If $H\neq Sp_{2n}(q)$, then $\eta(H/Z(Sp_{2n}(q)))=\eta(H)$ by Lemma 1.4. If $H=Sp_{2n}(q)$, then $2n=p^{k-1}+1$ for some k and $\eta(H/Z(Sp_{2n}(q))=p^k$. Therefore, sets $\mu_m(Sp_{2n}(q))$ and $\mu_m(PSp_{2n}(q))$ are distinct if and only if $2n=p^{k-1}+1$ for some $k\geqslant 1$. In the latter case $\mu_m(Sp_{2n}(q))=\mu_m(PSp_{2n}(q))\cup\{2p^k\}$.

As a corallary of Lemma 1.3, [2, Proposition 3.1, Theorem 3], Theorems 1 and 2 we obtain a description of spectra of finite symplectic and projective symplectic groups over field of odd characteristic.

Corollary 1. *Let $G=Sp_{2n}(q)$, where $n\geqslant 2$ and q is a power of odd prime p. Then $\omega(G)$ consist of all divisor of the following numbers:*

1) $[q^{n_1}+\varepsilon_1 1,q^{n_2}+\varepsilon_2 1,\dots,q^{n_s}+\varepsilon_s 1]$ *for every* $s\geqslant 1$, $\varepsilon_i\in\{+,-\}$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant s$, *and* $n_1,n_2,\dots,n_s>0$ *such that* $n_1+n_2+\cdots+n_s=n$;
2) $p^k[q^{n_1}+\varepsilon_1 1,q^{n_2}+\varepsilon_2 1,\dots,q^{n_s}+\varepsilon_s 1]$ *for every* $s\geqslant 1$, $\varepsilon_i\in\{+,-\}$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant s$, *and* $k,n_1,n_2,\dots,n_s>0$ *such that* $p^{k-1}+1+2n_1+2n_2+\cdots+2n_s=2n$;
3) $2p^k$, *if* $p^{k-1}+1=2n$ *for some* $k>1$.

Corollary 2. *Let $G=PSp_{2n}(q)$, where $n\geqslant 2$ and q is a power of odd prime p. Then $\omega(G)$ consist of all divisor of the following numbers:*

1) $\frac{q^n\pm1}{2}$;
2) $[q^{n_1}+\varepsilon_1 1,q^{n_2}+\varepsilon_2 1,\dots,q^{n_s}+\varepsilon_s 1]$ *for every* $s\geqslant 2$, $\varepsilon_i\in\{+,-\}$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant s$, *and* $n_1,n_2,\dots,n_s>0$ *such that* $n_1+n_2+\cdots+n_s=n$;
3) $p^k[q^{n_1}+\varepsilon_1 1,q^{n_2}+\varepsilon_2 1,\dots,q^{n_s}+\varepsilon_s 1]$ *for every* $s\geqslant 1$, $\varepsilon_i\in\{+,-\}$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant s$, *and* $k,n_1,n_2,\dots,n_s>0$ *such that* $p^{k-1}+1+2n_1+2n_2+\cdots+2n_s=2n$;
4) p^k, *if* $p^{k-1}+1=2n$ *for some* $k>1$.

§ 3. Reductive subgroups of orthogonal groups

Recall some definitions and notations concerning orthogonal groups. Group $GO_n(K,Q)$ is an orthogonal group of dimension n over a field K, associated to a non-singular quadratic form Q, and group $SO_n(K,Q)$ is a subgroup of $GO_n(K,Q)$ consisting of all matrices of determinant 1. If K is algebraically closed, then all forms lead to isomorphic groups.

Let $K = GF(q)$, where q is a power of a prime p. In the case of odd dimension all quadratic forms lead to the same orthogonal group $GO_n(q)$; in the case of even dimension there are two non-isomorphic groups $GO_n^+(q)$ and $GO_n^-(q)$. In all cases we will use unified notation $GO_n^\varepsilon(q)$, where ε is an empty symbol if n is odd and $\varepsilon \in \{+,-\}$ if n is even.

Denote by $\Omega_n^\varepsilon(q)$ the commutator subgroup of $SO_n^\varepsilon(q)$. Group $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ is simple if pair (n,q) is distinct from $(1,2),(1,3),(2,2)$. Group $\Omega_{2n}^\varepsilon(q)$ has the center of order $(4,q^n-\varepsilon 1)/2$ and its factor group by the center is denoted by $P\Omega_{2n}^\varepsilon(q)$. For $n<4$ simple groups $P\Omega_{2n}^\varepsilon(q)$ are isomorphic to some linear or unitary groups. For $n \geqslant 4$ all groups $P\Omega_{2n}^\varepsilon(q)$ are simple.

Put $\overline{G} = SO_{2n+1}(\overline{F}_p, Q)$, where $Q(x) = x_0^2 + x_1x_{-1} + \cdots + x_nx_{-n}$, $n \geqslant 1$. Rows and columns of matrices from $\overline{G}$ are numerated in the order $0,1,2,\ldots,n,-1,-2,\ldots,-n$. Define group $\overline{H}$ as a subgroup of $\overline{G}$ consisting of all matrices of the form $\mathrm{diag}(1,A)$, where A is a matrix of size $2n \times 2n$. Then $\overline{H} \simeq SO_{2n}(\overline{F}_p)$. Let map σ act on $\overline{G}$ as follows: matrix (a_{ij}) under action of σ transforms into matrix (a_{ij}^q), where q is a power of p. Let τ be a graph automorphism of $\overline{H}$. Then σ and $\sigma\circ\tau$ are Frobenius maps of $\overline{G}$. In this notation $\overline{G}_\sigma \simeq SO_{2n+1}(q)$, $\overline{H}_\sigma \simeq SO_{2n}^+(q)$ and $\overline{H}_{\sigma\circ\tau} \simeq SO_{2n}^-(q)$.

The subgroup $\overline{T}$ of $\overline{G}$ consisting of all diagonal matrices of the form $\mathrm{diag}(1,D,D^{-1})$ is a maximal torus of groups $\overline{G}$ and $\overline{H}$. The Weyl group $W = N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{T})/\overline{T}$ of $\overline{G}$ is isomorphic to Sl_n. Its subgroup $W_{\overline{H}} = N_{\overline{H}}(\overline{T})/\overline{T}$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of Sl_n consisting of permutations whose decomposition into disjoint cycles contain even number of negative cycles. Put $n_0 = \mathrm{diag}(-1,A_0)$, where A_0 is the permutation matrix corresponding to negative cycle $(n,-n)$. Then $n_0 \in N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{T})$ and $W = W_{\overline{H}} \cup w_0W_{\overline{H}}$, where $w_0 = \pi(n_0)$.

The map σ act trivially on W. Hence, σ-conjugacy classes of W coincide with conjugacy classes. Consider $\sigma\circ\tau$-conjugacy classes of $W_{\overline{H}}$. The action of τ on $W_{\overline{H}}$ coincide with the action of w_0. Elements w_1 and w_2 of $W_{\overline{H}}$ are $\sigma\circ\tau$-conjugate in $W_{\overline{H}}$ if $w_1 = (w^{-1})^{\sigma\circ\tau}w_2w$ for some w of $W_{\overline{H}}$. Since $w^{\sigma\circ\tau} = w_0^{-1}ww_0$, the equality $w_1 = (w^{-1})^{\sigma\circ\tau}w_2w$ is equivalent to $w_0w_1 = w^{-1}w_0w_2w$. Thus w_1 and w_2 are $\sigma\circ\tau$-conjugate in $W_{\overline{H}}$ if and only if w_0w_1 and w_0w_2 are conjugated by an element of $W_{\overline{H}}$. For convenience, we will say that the structure of reductive subgroup of $\overline{H}_{\sigma\circ\tau}$ is determined by a conjugacy class of W contained in $w_0W_{\overline{H}}$.

The root system Φ of $\overline{G}$ has type B_n. The system Φ can be presented as follows. Let $e_1,e_2,\ldots,e_n$ be an orthonormal basis of a Euclidian space of dimension n. Then $\Phi = \{\pm e_i \pm e_j, \pm e_j, i \neq j, 1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant n\}$. The group W acts on the basis vectors in the following way: $w(e_i) = e_j$ if $w(i) = j$ and $w(e_i) = -e_j$ if $w(i) = -j$.

The root system $\Phi_{\overline{H}}$ of $\overline{H}$ has type D_n. The system $\Phi_{\overline{H}}$ can be presented as follows. Let $e_1,e_2,\ldots,e_n$ be an orthonormal basis of a Euclidian space of dimension n. Then $\Phi_{\overline{H}} = \{\pm e_i \pm e_j, i \neq j, 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n\}$. The group W acts on the basis vectors in the following way: $w(e_i) = e_j$ if $w(i) = j$ and $w(e_i) = -e_j$ if $w(i) = -j$. Thus the system $\Phi_{\overline{H}}$ is a subsystem of Φ.

Let p be odd. Define elements $u_r(t)$, $r \in \Phi$, $t \in \overline{F}_p$ as follows:

$$u_r(t) = E + t(E_{ij} - E_{-j,-i}), \text{ if } r = e_i - e_j,$$
$$u_r(t) = E + t(E_{i,-j} - E_{j,-i}), \text{ if } r = e_i + e_j,$$
$$u_r(t) = E + t(E_{-j,i} - E_{-i,j}), \text{ if } r = -e_i - e_j,$$
$$u_r(t) = E + t(2E_{i,0} - E_{0,-i}) - t^2 E_{i,-i}, \text{ if } r = e_i,$$
$$u_r(t) = E - t(2E_{-i,0} - E_{0,i}) - t^2 E_{-i,i}, \text{ if } r = -e_i.$$

Let now $p = 2$. In this case elements $u_r(t)$ are defined in the following way:

$$u_r(t) = E + t(E_{ij} - E_{-j,-i}), \text{ if } r = e_i - e_j,$$
$$u_r(t) = E + t(E_{i,-j} - E_{j,-i}), \text{ if } r = e_i + e_j,$$
$$u_r(t) = E + t(E_{-j,i} - E_{-i,j}), \text{ if } r = -e_i - e_j,$$
$$u_r(t) = E + t^2 E_{i,-i}, \text{ if } r = e_i,$$
$$u_r(t) = E + t^2 E_{-i,i}, \text{ if } r = -e_i.$$

In both cases root subgroup $\overline{U}_r$ of $\overline{G}$ and $\overline{H}$ (with respect to $\overline{T}$) consist of elements $u_r(t)$, where $t \in \overline{F}_p$ (see, e.g., the proof of Theorem 11.3.2 from [4]). Here, in the case of group $\overline{G}$ roots r lie in the system Φ and in the case of group $\overline{H}$ — in its subsytem $\Phi_{\overline{H}}$. The root subgroups are σ-stable and, therefore, every reductive subgroup containing $\overline{T}$ is σ-stable. The element w_0 defined above transforms the root $e_{n-1} - e_n$ into the root $e_{n-1} + e_n$ and fixes the rest of fundamental roots. Thus the action of n_0 on $\overline{H}$ coincide with the action of graph automorphism.

The following isomorphisms

$$\langle U_r, r \in \Phi\rangle \simeq \Omega_{2n+1}(\overline{F}_p),$$
$$\langle U_r, r \in \Phi_{\overline{H}}\rangle \simeq \Omega_{2n}(\overline{F}_p)$$

holds (see [4, Section 11.3]).

If p is odd, then subsystems of Φ ca be obtained in the following way (see [6, Section 3]). Let λ and μ are partitions satisfying the condition $|\lambda|+|\mu| \leq n$ with no part of μ equal to 1. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_m)$. Put $\rho = n - |\lambda| - |\mu|$. Let $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_l, J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_m$ be pairwise non-intersecting subsets of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $|I_a| = \lambda_a$ for all $1 \leqslant a \leqslant l$ and $|J_b| = \mu_b$ for all $1 \leqslant b \leqslant m$, and let K be the supplement to the union of the sets $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_l, J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_m$ in $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Define the system Φ_1 to be the union

$$\bigcup_{1\leqslant a\leqslant l}\{e_i - e_j : i \neq j, i, j \in I_a\} \cup \bigcup_{1\leqslant b\leqslant m}\{\pm e_i \pm e_j : i \neq j, i, j \in J_b\} \cup$$

$$\cup\{\pm e_i \pm e_j, \pm e_i : i \neq j, i, j \in K\}.$$

Then Φ_1 is a subsystem of Φ of type

$$A_{\lambda_1-1} \times A_{\lambda_2-1} \times \cdots \times A_{\lambda_l-1} \times D_{\mu_1} \times D_{\mu_2} \times \cdots \times D_{\mu_m} \times B_\rho,$$

and any subsystem of Φ is equivalent under the action of W to some system of such form.

In the case when $p = 2$ subsystems Φ_1 of Φ are constructed in the following way (see [6, Section 3]). Let λ, μ and ρ be partitions such that $|\lambda|+|\mu|+|\rho| = n$ with no part of μ equal to 1. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l)$, $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_m)$ and $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_r)$. Let $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_l$, $J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_m$, $K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_r$ be pairwise non-intersecting subsets of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $|I_a| = \lambda_a$ for $1 \leqslant a \leqslant l$, $|J_b| = \mu_b$ for $1 \leqslant b \leqslant m$ and $|K_c| = \rho_c$ for $1 \leqslant c \leqslant r$. Define the system Φ_1 to be the union

$$\bigcup_{1\leqslant a\leqslant l}\{e_i - e_j, i \neq j, i, j \in I_\alpha\} \cup \bigcup_{1\leqslant b\leqslant m}\{\pm e_i \pm e_j, i \neq j, i, j \in J_\beta\} \cup$$

$$\cup \bigcup_{1\leqslant c\leqslant r}\{\pm e_i \pm e_j, \pm e_i, i \neq j, i, j \in K_\gamma\}.$$

Then Φ_1 is a subsystem of Φ of type

$$A_{\lambda_1-1} \times \cdots \times A_{\lambda_l-1} \times D_{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times D_{\mu_m} \times B_{\rho_1} \times \cdots \times B_{\rho_r},$$

and any subsystem of Φ is equivalent under the action of W to some system of such form.

Subsystems of $\Phi_{\overline{H}}$ can be obtained in the following way (see [6, Section 3]). Let λ and μ be partitions satisfying the condition $|\lambda| + |\mu| = n$ with no part of μ equal to 1. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_m)$. Let $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_l, J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_m$be pairwise non-intersecting subsets of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $|I_a| = \lambda_a$ for all $1 \leqslant a \leqslant l$ and $|J_b| = \mu_b$ for all $1 \leqslant b \leqslant m$. Define the system Φ_1 to be the union

$$\bigcup_{1\leqslant a\leqslant l}\{e_i - e_j : i \neq j, i, j \in I_a\} \cup \bigcup_{1\leqslant b\leqslant m}\{\pm e_i \pm e_j : i \neq j, i, j \in J_b\}.$$

Then Φ_1 is a subsystem of $\Phi_{\overline{H}}$ of type

$$A_{\lambda_1-1} \times A_{\lambda_2-1} \times \cdots \times A_{\lambda_l-1} \times D_{\mu_1} \times D_{\mu_2} \times \cdots \times D_{\mu_m},$$

and any subsystem of Φ is equivalent under the action of W to some system of such form. This implies that subsystems of $\Phi_{\overline{H}}$ are also subsystems of Φ. Therefore, reductive subgroups of maximal rank of $\overline{H}$ are reductive subgroups of maximal rank of $\overline{G}$.

For subsystem Φ_1 of Φ define the set of indices I_{Φ_1} as follows: i and $-i$, where $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, lie in I_{Φ_1} if and only if Φ_1 contains a root non orthogonal to e_i. Denote by $\overline{T}_{\Phi_1}$ the subgroup of $\overline{T}$ consisting of all diagonal matrices which have 1's at places with numbers not in I_{Φ_1} along the diagonal. Denote the group $\langle \overline{T}_{\Phi_1}, \overline{U}_r, r \in \Phi_1 \rangle$ by $\overline{G}_{\Phi_1}$. Let Φ_1 be an indecomposable subsystem and $k = |I_{\Phi_1}|/2$. Repeating arguments from the previous section, we deduce that if Φ_1 has type A_{k-1}, then $\overline{G}_{\Phi_1}$ is isomorphic to $GL_k(\overline{F}_p)$. If Φ_1 has type D_k or B_k, then, as we mentioned above, the root subgroups corresponding to the root from subsystem Φ_1 generate a subgroup isomorphic to $\Omega_{2k}(\overline{F}_p)$ or $\Omega_{2k+1}(\overline{F}_p)$ respectively. Let $\overline{S}$ be a maximal torus of $\Omega_l(\overline{F}_p)$. If p is odd, then group $SO_l(\overline{F}_p)$ is generated by $\overline{S}$ and $\Omega_l(\overline{F}_p)$. If $p = 2$, then $\overline{S}$ is contained in $\Omega_l(\overline{F}_p)$. Thus if p is odd, then $\overline{G}_{\Phi_1}$ is isomorphic to $SO_{2k}(\overline{F}_p)$, if Φ_1 has type D_k, and isomorphic to $SO_{2k+1}(\overline{F}_p)$, if Φ_1 has type B_k; and if $p = 2$, then $\overline{G}_{\Phi_1}$ is isomorphic to $\Omega_{2k}(\overline{F}_p)$, if Φ_1 has type D_k, and isomorphic to $\Omega_{2k+1}(\overline{F}_p)$, if Φ_1 has type B_k.

Let $\overline{G}_1$ be a σ-stable reductive subgroup of maximal rank of $\overline{G}$ with the root system Φ_1 of the form described above. Denote by n_i the number of sets of cardinality i among the sets I_a, by m_j the number of sets of cardinality j among the sets J_b. If p is odd, then $\overline{G}_1$ is isomorphic to the direct product

$$\prod_i GL_i(\overline{F}_p)^{n_i} \times \prod_j SO_{2j}(\overline{F}_p)^{m_j} \times SO_{2\rho+1}(\overline{F}_p).$$

If $p = 2$, then $\overline{G}_1$ is isomorphic to

$$\prod_i GL_i(\overline{F}_p)^{n_i} \times \prod_j \Omega_{2j}(\overline{F}_p)^{m_j} \times \prod_s \Omega_{2s+1}(\overline{F}_p)^{k_s},$$

where k_s is the number of sets of cardinality s among the sets K_c.

Put $P_A = \prod_i Sl_{n_i}$, $P_D = \prod_j Sl_{m_j}$. Let $P_B = 1$, if p is odd, and $P_B = \prod_s Sym_{k_s}$ if $p = 2$. Then $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ is isomorphic to the direct product $P_A \times P_D \times P_B$ (see [6, Section 3]).

Recall that to describe the structure of reductive subgroups of maximal rank in finite group it suffices to describe the structure of groups $(\overline{G}_1)_{\sigma \circ n}$, where n runs over some set of matrices. Let us show that matrices n can be chosen among permutation matrices. Let $w \in N_W(W_1)$. Let n be the permutation matrix corresponding to permutation w. Then one of the matrices n and $-n$ lies in the preimage of w. Since actions of n and $-n$ on $\overline{G}_1$ coincide, every group of the class corresponding to $W_1 w$ is conjugate to $(\overline{G}_1)_{\sigma \circ n}$ in $\overline{G}$.

Let us construct a map from $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ to $N_W(W_1)$. If $\overline{w}$ is a cycle of length k in a subgroup Sl_{n_i} of P_A, then $\overline{G}_1$ has a direct factor isomorphic to a direct power $GL_i(\overline{F}_p)^k$ consisting, after suitable renumbering of rows and columns, of block-diagonal matrices of the form

$$\mathrm{diag}(X_1, \dots, X_k, E_{n-ki}, X_1^{-T}, \dots, X_k^{-T}, E_{n-ki}),$$

where $X_j \in GL_i(\overline{F}_p)$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$. If cycle $\overline{w}$ is positive, then it maps to the product of cycles $(1, i+1, \dots, (k-1)i+1)(2, i+2, \dots, (k-1)i+2)\dots(i, 2i, \dots, ki)$. If $\overline{w}$ is negative, then it maps to the product of cycles $\overline{(1, i+1, \dots, (k-1)i+1)}\dots\overline{(i, 2i, \dots, ki)}$. Let $\overline{w}$ be a cycle of length k ina subgroup Sl_{m_i} of P_D. Then $\overline{G}_1$ has a direct factor isomorphic to a direct power $SO_{2i}(\overline{F}_p)^k$ consisting, after suitable renumbering of rows and columns, of block-diagonal matrices of the form $\mathrm{diag}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k, E_{2n-2ki})$, $X_j \in SO_{2i}(\overline{F}_p)$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$. If $\overline{w}$ is positive, then it maps to the same permutation as in the case of a positive cycle of P_A. If $\overline{w}$ is negative, then it maps to the permutation $(1, i+1, \dots, (k-1)i+1)(2, i+2, \dots, (k-1)i+2)\dots\overline{(i, 2i, \dots, ki)}$. Let $p = 2$ and $\overline{w}$ be a cycle of length k in a subgroup Sym_{k_i} of P_B. Then $\overline{G}_1$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $SO_{2i+1}(\overline{F}_p)^k$ consisting, after suitable renumbering of rows and columns, of block-diagonal matrices of the form $\mathrm{diag}(1, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k, E_{2n-2ki})$, where $\mathrm{diag}(1, X_j) \in SO_{2i+1}(\overline{F}_p)$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$. The image of $\overline{w}$ is determined in the same way as in the case of a positive cycle of P_A. It is not difficult to check that the extension of this map to $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ is an isomorphism. Denote the image of $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ under this isomorphism by W_2. Obviously, the intersection of W_1 and W_2 is trivial. Thus, $N_W(W_1) = W_1 \leftthreetimes W_2$. If we now map each element of W_2 to corresponding permutation matrix of size $(2n+1) \times (2n+1)$, then we will receive an isomorphic embedding of $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ into the group of permutation matrices. Denote this embedding by ϕ. If W_1 is a subgroup of $W_{\overline{H}}$, then group $N_{W_{\overline{H}}}(W_1)/W_1$ corresponds to the subgroup $W_2 \cap W_{\overline{H}}$ of W_2 consisting of all permutations of W_2,whose decomposition into disjoint cycles contains even number of negative cycles.

The conjugacy classes of $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ can be determined by collections of partitions. Indeed, a conjugacy class of Sym_{k_i} is determined by a partition $\rho^{(i)}$ of k_i; a conjugacy class of Sl_{n_i} is determined by a pair of partitions $\xi^{(i)}$ and $\zeta^{(i)}$ with $|\xi^{(i)}| + |\zeta^{(i)}| = n_i$, and a conjugacy class of Sl_{m_i} is determined by a pair of partitions $\theta^{(i)}$ and $\upsilon^{(i)}$ with $|\theta^{(i)}| + |\upsilon^{(i)}| = m_i$, where parts of partitions $\xi^{(i)}$ and $\theta^{(i)}$ give lengths of positive cycles and parts of $\zeta^{(i)}$ and $\upsilon^{(i)}$ give lengths of negative cycles. We have

$$\sum_i i(|\xi^{(i)}| + |\zeta^{(i)}|) + \sum_j j|\rho^{(i)}| + \sum_s s(|\theta^{(s)}| + |\upsilon^{(s)}|) + \rho = n. \qquad (3)$$

In this equality $\rho = 0$, if the characteristic is 2, every $|\rho^{(i)}|$ is equal to 0, if the characteristic is odd, and for classes of $N_{W_{\overline{H}}}(W_1)/W_1$ both conditions are satisfied, that is ρ is equal to 0 and every $|\rho^{(i)}|$ is equal to 0.

Given a collection of partitions let $\overline{w}$ be a representative of the corresponding conjugacy class. The element $\overline{w}$ can be presented as a product of disjoint cycles, and $\overline{G}_1$ can be presented as a direct product of subgroups such that each cycle acts nontrivially exactly on one factor. Thus, to describe the structure of reductive subgroups it suffices, for every cycle, to describe the structure of corresponding subgroup. Group $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ contains five types of cycles: positive and negative cycles from factors P_A, positive and negative cycles from factors P_D and cycles from factors P_B. The first two cases can be dealt in the same way as in the previous section. The cases of a positive cycle of P_D and a cycle of P_B can be done in a similar way. Let us consider the case of negative cycle of P_D.

Let p be odd and $\upsilon_j^{(i)}$ be a part of partition $\upsilon^{(i)}$. Then the decomposition of $\overline{w}$ into disjoint cycles contains a negative cycle of length $\upsilon_j^{(i)}$. This implies that $\overline{G}_1$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $SO_{2i}(\overline{F}_p)^{\upsilon_j^{(i)}}$ consisting of block-diagonal matrices of the form

$$\mathrm{diag}(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{\upsilon_j^{(i)}}, E),$$

where $X_k \in SO_{2i}(\overline{F}_p)$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant \upsilon_j^{(i)}$, and E is an identity matrix of suitable size. We have

$$\sigma \circ \phi(\overline{w})(\mathrm{diag}(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{\upsilon_j^{(i)}}, E)) = \mathrm{diag}(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{\upsilon_j^{(i)}}, E).$$

Rewrite this in the following way

$$\sigma(\mathrm{diag}(X^{\tau}_{\upsilon_j^{(i)}}, X_1, \ldots, X_{\upsilon_j^{(i)}-1}, E)) = \mathrm{diag}(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{\upsilon_j^{(i)}}, E),$$

where τ denote the graph automorphism of $SO_{2i}(\overline{F}_p)$. We obtain the system of equalities $X_2 = X_1^{\sigma}$, $X_3 = X_2^{\sigma}, \ldots, X_1 = X^{\sigma\circ\tau}_{\upsilon_j^{(i)}}$. This system gives equality

$$X_1 = X_1^{\sigma^{\upsilon_j^{(i)}}\circ\tau},$$

which is satisfied if and only if the matrix X_1 lies in $SO^{-}_{2i}(q^{\upsilon_j^{(i)}})$. Thus, in matrices of group $(\overline{G}_1)_{\sigma\circ\phi(\overline{w})}$, parts $\upsilon_j^{(i)}$ correspond to blocks of the form

$$\mathrm{diag}(X, X^{\sigma}, \ldots, X^{\sigma^{(\upsilon_j^{(i)}-1)}}),\ X \in SO^{-}_{2i}(q^{\upsilon_j^{(i)}}).$$

Denote the group of matrices of such from by H^{υ}_{ij}.

In the case $p = 2$, by repeating the arguments from the previous paragraph with substitution of $SO_{2i}(\overline{F}_p)$ for $\Omega_{2i}(\overline{F}_p)$, we obtain that, in matrices of $(\overline{G}_1)_{\sigma\circ\phi(\overline{w})}$, part $\upsilon_j^{(i)}$ corresponds to the block

$$\mathrm{diag}(X, X^\sigma, \dots, X^{\sigma^{(\upsilon_j^{(i)}-1)}}),\ X \in \Omega_{2i}^-(q^{\upsilon_j^{(i)}}).$$

Denote the group of matrices of such form by H_{ij}^υ.

Groups corresponding to parts of partitions $\xi^{(i)}$ and $\zeta^{(i)}$ can be defined independently of the characteristic. Define for a part $\xi_j^{(i)}$ of partition $\xi^{(i)}$ the group H_{ij}^ξ to be the group consisting of matrices of the form $\mathrm{diag}(Y, Y^{-\top})$, where

$$Y = \mathrm{diag}(X, X^\sigma, X^{\sigma^2}, \dots, X^{\sigma^{(\xi_j^{(i)}-1)}}),\ X \in GL_i(q^{\xi_j^{(i)}}).$$

Define for a part $\zeta_j^{(i)}$ of partition $\zeta^{(i)}$ the group H_{ij}^ζ to be the group consisting of matrices of the form $\mathrm{diag}(Y, Y^{-\top})$, where

$$Y = \mathrm{diag}(X, X^\sigma, X^{\sigma^2}, \dots, X^{\sigma^{(\zeta_j^{(i)}-1)}}),\ X \in GU_i(q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}).$$

Let p be odd. Define for a part $\theta_j^{(i)}$ of partition $\theta^{(i)}$ the group H_{ij}^θ to be the group consisting of matrices of the form

$$\mathrm{diag}(X, X^\sigma, X^{\sigma^2}, \dots, X^{\sigma^{(\theta_j^{(i)}-1)}}),\ X \in SO_{2i}^+(q^{\theta_j^{(i)}}).$$

If $p = 2$, then a part $\theta_j^{(i)}$ of partition $\theta^{(i)}$ corresponds to the group H_{ij}^θ consisting of matrices of the form

$$\mathrm{diag}(X, X^\sigma, X^{\sigma^2}, \dots, X^{\sigma^{(\theta_j^{(i)}-1)}}),\ X \in \Omega_{2i}^+(q^{\theta_j^{(i)}}).$$

If p is odd, then subsystem of type B is unique and the subgroup corresponding to this subsystem is isomorphic to $SO_{2\rho+1}$, where ρ satisfies the equality (3). Let $p = 2$. Define for a part $\rho_j^{(i)}$ of partition $\rho^{(i)}$ the group H_{ij}^ρ to be the group

$$\mathrm{diag}(X, X^\sigma, X^{\sigma^2}, \dots, X^{\sigma^{(\rho_j^{(i)}-1)}}),\ \mathrm{diag}(1, X) \in \Omega_{2i+1}(q^{\rho_j^{(i)}}).$$

Thus, in this notations the following statement, giving the description of reductive subgroups of $SO_{2n+1}(q)$, holds.

Proposition 3.1. *Let p be odd and $\overline{G}_1^g$ be σ-stable. Let $w = \pi(g^\sigma g^{-1})$ and the coset $W_1 w$ lie in the conjugacy class corresponding to a collection of partitions $\theta^{(i)}$, $\upsilon^{(i)}$, $\xi^{(i)}$, $\zeta^{(i)}$. Then $(\overline{G}_1^g)_\sigma$ is conjugate in $\overline{G}$ to the group G_1 consisting* *of all block-diagonal matrices with blocks B, A^+_{ij}, A^-_{ij}, D^+_{ij}, D^-_{ij}, where $B \in SO_{2\rho+1}(q)$ and ρ satisfies the equality (3), $A^+_{ij} \in H^{\xi}_{ij}$, $A^-_{ij} \in H^{\zeta}_{ij}$, $D^+_{ij} \in H^{\theta}_{ij}$, $D^-_{ij} \in H^{\upsilon}_{ij}$. In particular,*

$$\overline{G}^g_1 \simeq SO_{2\rho+1}(q)\times\prod_{i,j} GL_i(q^{\xi^{(i)}_j})\times\prod_{i,j} GU_i(q^{\zeta^{(i)}_j})\times\prod_{i,j} SO^+_{2i}(q^{\theta^{(i)}_j})\times\prod_{i,j} SO^-_{2i}(q^{\upsilon^{(i)}_j}).$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $p = 2$ and $\overline{G}^g_1$ be σ-stable. Let $w = \pi(g^\sigma g^{-1})$ and the coset W_1w lie in the conjugacy class corresponding to a collection of partitions $\rho^{(i)}, \theta^{(i)}$, $\upsilon^{(i)}$ $\xi^{(i)}$, $\zeta^{(i)}$. Then $(\overline{G}^g_1)_\sigma$ is conjugate in $\overline{G}$ to the group G_1 consisting of all block-diagonal matrices with blocks B_{ij}, A^+_{ij}, A^-_{ij}, D^+_{ij}, D^-_{ij}, where $B_{ij} \in H^{\rho}_{ij}$, $A^+_{ij} \in H^{\xi}_{ij}$, $A^-_{ij} \in H^{\zeta}_{ij}$, $D^+_{ij} \in H^{\theta}_{ij}$, $D^-_{ij} \in H^{\upsilon}_{ij}$. In particular,*

$$\overline{G}^g_1 \simeq \prod_{i,j} \Omega_{2i+1}(q^{\rho^{(i)}_j})\times\prod_{i,j} GL_i(q^{\xi^{(i)}_j})\times\prod_{i,j} GU_i(q^{\zeta^{(i)}_j})\times\prod_{i,j} \Omega^+_{2i}(q^{\theta^{(i)}_j})\times\prod_{i,j} \Omega^-_{2i}(q^{\upsilon^{(i)}_j}).$$

The following proposition is a corollary of Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 and gives a description of reductive subgroups of $SO^{\varepsilon}_{2i}(q)$ with odd q and $\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2i}(q)$ with q equal to a power of 2.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\overline{G}^g_1$ be a σ-stable subgroup of $\overline{H}$. Let $w = \pi(g^\sigma g^{-1})$ and the coset W_1w lie in the conjugacy class corresponding to a collection of partitions $\theta^{(i)}$, $\upsilon^{(i)}$ $\xi^{(i)}$, $\zeta^{(i)}$. Then $(\overline{G}^g_1)_\sigma$ is conjugate in $\overline{G}$ to the group G_1consisting of all block-diagonal matrices with blocks A^+_{ij}, A^-_{ij}, D^+_{ij}, D^-_{ij}, where $A^+_{ij} \in H^{\xi}_{ij}$, $A^-_{ij} \in H^{\zeta}_{ij}$, $D^+_{ij} \in H^{\theta}_{ij}$, $D^-_{ij} \in H^{\upsilon}_{ij}$. Moreover, the number of groups H^{ζ}_{ij} with odd i and groups H^{υ}_{ij} is even, if $W_1w \subseteq W_{\overline{H}}$, and odd, if $W_1w \subseteq w_0W_{\overline{H}}$. In particular, group $\overline{G}^g_1$ is isomorphic to*

$$\prod_{i,j} GL_i(q^{\xi^{(i)}_j}) \times \prod_{i,j} GU_i(q^{\zeta^{(i)}_j}) \times \prod_{i,j} SO^+_{2i}(q^{\theta^{(i)}_j}) \times \prod_{i,j} SO^-_{2i}(q^{\upsilon^{(i)}_j}),$$

if p is odd; and isomorphic to

$$\prod_{i,j} GL_i(q^{\xi^{(i)}_j}) \times \prod_{i,j} GU_i(q^{\zeta^{(i)}_j}) \times \prod_{i,j} \Omega^+_{2i}(q^{\theta^{(i)}_j}) \times \prod_{i,j} \Omega^-_{2i}(q^{\upsilon^{(i)}_j}),$$

in the case $p = 2$.

Proof. Recall that $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ can be isomorphically embedded into $N_W(W_1)$. The image of this embedding we denoted by W_2. Under this embedding, positive cycles of $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ map to products of positive cycles of $N_W(W_1)$. A negative cycle corresponding to a part of partition $\upsilon^{(i)}$ map to a product of a number of positive cycles and a negative cycle. Finally, a cycle corresponding to a part of partition $\zeta^{(i)}$ maps to a product of i negative cycles. To finish the proof we should recall that the image of $N_{W_{\overline{H}}}(W_1)/W_1$ under the embedding consists of permutations whose decomposition into disjoint cycles contains an even number of negative cycles.

The center of H_{ij}^{ξ} is isomorphic to a cyclic group of order $q^{\xi_j^{(i)}}-1$, the center of H_{ij}^{ζ} is isomorphic to a cyclic group of order $q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}+1$. The center of H_{ij}^{θ} is trivial, if q is a power of 2, and has order $(4, q^{i\theta_j^{(i)}}-1)/2$, if q is odd. The center of H_{ij}^{υ} is trivial, if q is a power of 2, and has order $(4, q^{i\upsilon_j^{(i)}}+1)/2$, if q is odd. The center of H_{ij}^{ρ} is trivial. Thus, the center of G_1 is isomorphic to the direct product

$$\prod_{i,j}(q^{\xi_j^{(i)}}-1)\times\prod_{i,j}(q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}+1)\times\prod_{i,j}Z(H_{ij}^{\theta})\times\prod_{i,j}Z(H_{ij}^{\upsilon}). \tag{4}$$

In the next section we will need some information about maximal tori of orthogonal groups with odd p. In this case $\Omega_n^{\varepsilon}(q)=O^{p'}(SO_n^{\varepsilon}(q))$. For pair of partitions $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_a)$ and $\beta=(\beta_1,\beta_2,\dots,\beta_b)$ define elements t_i, $1\leqslant i\leqslant a+b$, in the following way: for $1\leqslant i\leqslant a$ put

$$A_i=\mathrm{diag}(E_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_{i-1}},\lambda_i,\lambda_i^q,\dots,\lambda_i^{q^{\alpha_i-1}},E_{\alpha_{i+1}+\dots+\alpha_a+|\beta|})$$

and $t_i=\mathrm{diag}(A_i,A_i^{-1})$, where λ_i is a primitive root of unity of degree $q^{\alpha_i}-1$, for $a<i\leqslant a+b$ put

$$A_i=\mathrm{diag}(E_{|\alpha|+\beta_1+\beta_2+\dots+\beta_{i-a-1}},\lambda_i,\lambda_i^q,\dots,\lambda_i^{q^{\beta_{i-a}-1}},E_{\beta i-a+1+\dots+\beta_b})$$

and $t_i=\mathrm{diag}(A_i,A_i^{-1})$, where λ_i is a primitive root of unity of degree$q^{\beta_{i-a}}+1$.

In the following lemma σ_+ denotes the map σ, and σ_- denotes the map $\sigma\circ n_0$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let p be odd and T be a maximal torus of $\overline{H}_{\sigma_\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon\in\{+,-\}$ corresponding to a pair of partitions $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_a)$ and $\beta=(\beta_1,\beta_2,\dots,\beta_b)$, where parts of α give lengths of positive cycles, and parts of β give lengths of negative cycles. Then group $T\cap O^{p'}(\overline{H}_{\sigma_\varepsilon})$ is conjugate in $\overline{H}$ to the group*

$$T_1=\{t_1^{k_1}t_2^{k_2}\dots t_s^{k_s}|k_1+k_2+\dots+k_s \textit{ is even}\}.$$

Proof. See. [2, Proposition 4.3] and the proof of Theorems 5 and 6 in [2].

Since groups $T\cap O^{p'}(\overline{H}_{\sigma_\varepsilon})$ and T_1 are conjugate in $\overline{H}$, the center $Z(\overline{H}_{\sigma_\varepsilon})$ is a subgroup of T_1. Therefore, the images of $T\cap O^{p'}(\overline{H}_{\sigma_\varepsilon})$ and T_1 in $\overline{H}/Z(\overline{H}_{\sigma_\varepsilon})$ are conjugate. The generator of the center z is equal to $t_1^{|t_1|/2}t_2^{|t_2|/2}\dots t_s^{|t_s|/2}=\mathrm{diag}(1,-E)$.

§ 4. Spectra of orthogonal groups

First, we will obtain a description of spectra of simple orthogonal groups over fields of characteristic 2.

Theorem 3. *Let $G = \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$, where $n \geqslant 2$ and q is a power of 2. Assume that for every natural $k \geqslant 2$ such that $n_0 = 2^{k-2} + 1 < n$ and for ever pair of partitions $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_a)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_b)$ such that $n - n_0 = |\alpha| + |\beta|$ the set $\nu(G)$ contains a number*

$$2^k[q^{\alpha_1} - 1, q^{\alpha_2} - 1, \ldots, q^{\alpha_a} - 1, q^{\beta_1} + 1, q^{\beta_2} + 1, \ldots, q^{\beta_b} + 1];$$

for every pair of partitions $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_c)$ and $\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_d)$ such that $n - 1 = |\gamma| + |\delta|$ the set $\nu(G)$ contains a number

$$2[q^{\gamma_1} - 1, q^{\gamma_2} - 1, \ldots, q^{\gamma_c} - 1, q^{\delta_1} + 1, q^{\delta_2} + 1, \ldots, q^{\delta_d} + 1];$$

and does not contain any other number. Then $\mu_m(G) \subseteq \nu(G) \subseteq \omega(G)$.

Proof. We shall prove the inclusion $\nu(G) \subseteq \omega(G)$ first. To do this we for every possible k and partitions α and β, and partitions γ and δ, shall construct a reductive subgroup H of G such that $\eta(H)$ is equal to corresponding element of $\nu(G)$.

Consider the first case. Put $I = \{1, 2, \ldots, n_0\}$. Let $\Phi_1 = \{\pm e_i \pm e_j, \pm e_i, i \neq j, i, j \in I\}$. Then Φ_1 is a subsystem of system Φ of type B_{n_0}. Let $\overline{G}_1 = \langle \overline{T}, \overline{U}_r, r \in \Phi_1 \rangle$. Let W_1 be the Weyl group of $\overline{G}_1$. The group $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ is isomorphic to Sl_{n-n_0}. Consider the conjugacy class of $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ corresponding to the pair of partitions α and β, where parts α_i give lengths of positive cycles and parts β_j give lengths of negative cycles. Group G contains a reductive subgroup of maximal rank $(\overline{G}_1^g)_\sigma$, whose structure is determined by this class. Let a be the number of elements in the partition α and b be the number of element in the partition β. By Proposition 3.2 we have

$$(\overline{G}_1^g)_\sigma \simeq \Omega_{2n_0+1}(q) \times (q^{\alpha_1} - 1) \times \cdots \times (q^{\alpha_a} - 1) \times (q^{\beta_1} + 1) \times \cdots \times (q^{\beta_b} + 1).$$

By Lemma 1.3 the maximal order of unipotent element in $\Omega_{2n_0+1}(q)$ is equal to 2^k. Therefore, $\eta((\overline{G}_1^g)_\sigma) = 2^k[q^{\alpha_1} - 1, q^{\alpha_2} - 1, \ldots, q^{\alpha_a} - 1, q^{\beta_1} + 1, q^{\beta_2} + 1, \ldots, q^{\beta_b} + 1]$.

Let γ and δ be a pair of partitions such that $|\gamma| + |\delta| = n - 1$. Put $\Phi_1 = \{\pm e_1\}$. Then Φ_1 is a subsystem of system Φ of type B_1. The group $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ is isomorphic to Sl_{n-1}. Consider the conjugacy class of $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ corresponding to the pair of partitions γ and δ, where parts of γ_i give lengths of positive cycles and parts of δ_j give lengths of negative cycles. Group G contains a reductive subgroup of maximal rank $(\overline{G}_1^g)_\sigma$, whose structure is determined by this class. Let c be the number of elements in the partition γ and d be the number of element in the partition δ. By Proposition 3.2 we have

$$(\overline{G}_1^g)_\sigma \simeq \Omega_3(q) \times (q^{\gamma_1}-1) \times \cdots \times (q^{\gamma_c}-1) \times (q^{\delta_1}+1) \times \cdots \times (q^{\delta_d}+1).$$

By Lemma 1.3 the maximal order of unipotent element in $\Omega_3(q)$ is equal to 2. Therefore, $\eta((\overline{G}_1^g)_\sigma) = 2[q^{\gamma_1}-1, q^{\gamma_2}-1, \dots, q^{\gamma_c}-1, q^{\delta_1}+1, q^{\delta_2}+1, \dots, q^{\delta_d}+1]$.

It remains to prove the inclusion $\mu_m(G) \subseteq \nu(G)$. To do this we shall show that for every proper reductive subgroup of maximal rank H, which is not a maximal torus, there exists an element of $\nu(G)$ divided by $\eta(H)$. Let the structure of H be determined by a collection of partitions $\xi^{(i)}$ and $\zeta^{(i)}$, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant a$, $\theta^{(i)}$ and $\upsilon^{(i)}$, where $2 \leqslant i \leqslant b$, $\rho^{(i)}$, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant c$. Note that H is a maximal torus if and only if $a = 1$, $b = 0$ and $c = 0$. By formula (4) the center of H is isomorphic to the direct product

$$\prod_{i,j}(q^{\xi_j^{(i)}}-1) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}+1).$$

We have

$$\eta(H) = 2^k[\operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\xi_j^{(i)}}-1\}, \operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}+1\}],$$

where k is such that 2^k is the least power of 2 which is greater than $\max\{a-1, 2b-3, 2c-1\}$, i. e. the greatest power of 2 lying in $\omega(H)$. Put $n_0 = 2^{k-2}+1$, if $k \geqslant 2$, and $n_0 = 1$, if $k = 1$. Then $n_0 \leqslant \max\{(a+1)/2, b-1, c\}$.

By formula (3) we have

$$|\xi^{(1)}| + |\zeta^{(1)}| + 2(|\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}|) + \cdots + a(|\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}|) +$$

$$+2(|\theta^{(2)}| + |\upsilon^{(2)}|) + \cdots + b(|\theta^{(b)}| + |\upsilon^{(b)}|) + |\rho^{(1)}| + 2|\rho^{(2)}| + \cdots + c|\rho^{(c)}| = n.$$

Put

$$x = |\xi^{(1)}| + |\zeta^{(1)}| + |\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}| + \cdots + |\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}| + n_0.$$

Let us prove that $x \leqslant n$. By substituting the expressions for x and n, we obtain the inequality

$$|\xi^{(1)}| + |\zeta^{(1)}| + |\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}| + \cdots + |\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}| + n_0 \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant |\xi^{(1)}| + |\zeta^{(1)}| + 2(|\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}|) + \cdots + a(|\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}|) +$$

$$+2(|\theta^{(2)}| + |\upsilon^{(2)}|) + \cdots + b(|\theta^{(b)}| + |\upsilon^{(b)}|) + |\rho^{(1)}| + 2|\rho^{(2)}| + \cdots + c|\rho^{(c)}|.$$

Assume that $\max\{(a+1)/2, b-1, c\} = (a+1)/2$. If $a > 2$, then

$$n_0 + |\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}| \leqslant \frac{a+1}{2} + |\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}| \leqslant a - 1 + |\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}| \leqslant a(|\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}|).$$

If $a = 2$, then $n_0 = 1$. In this case we have

$$n_0 + |\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}| = a - 1 + |\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}| \leqslant a(|\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}|).$$

If $\max\{(a+1)/2, b-1, c\} = b - 1$, then $n_0 \leqslant b(|\theta^{(b)}| + |\upsilon^{(b)}|)$. If $\max\{(a+1)/2, b-1, c\} = c$, then $n_0 \leqslant c|\rho^{(c)}|$. Thus, in every case the inequality $x \leqslant n$ hlds.

Define partitions α and β as follows:

$$\alpha = (\xi_1^{(1)}, \xi_2^{(1)}, \dots, \xi_1^{(2)}, \xi_2^{(2)}, \dots, \xi_1^{(a)}, \dots, n - x),$$

$$\beta = (\zeta_1^{(1)}, \zeta_2^{(1)}, \dots, \zeta_1^{(2)}, \zeta_2^{(2)}, \dots, \zeta_1^{(a)}, \dots).$$

Let $\overline{G}_1 = \langle \overline{T}, \overline{U}_r, r \in \Phi_1 \rangle$, where Φ_1 is a subsystem of system Φ of type B_{n_0}. The group $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ is isomorphic to Sl_{n-n_0}. Group $N_W(W_1)/W_1$ contains the conjugacy class corresponding to the pair of partitions α and β, where parts of partition α give length of positive cycles and parts of partition β give lengths of negative cycles. Group G contains a reductive subgroup of maximal rank of the form $(\overline{G}_1^g)_\sigma$, whose structure is determined by this class. By formula (4) the center of this group is isomorphic to the direct product

$$\prod_{i,j} (q^{\xi_j^{(i)}} - 1) \times \prod_{i,j} (q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}} + 1) \times (q^{n-x} - 1).$$

Thus,

$$\eta((\overline{G}_1^g)_\sigma) = 2^k [\operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\xi_j^{(i)}} - 1\}, \operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}} + 1\}, q^{n-x} - 1].$$

This number is divided by $\eta(H)$ and lies in $\nu(G)$. The theorem is proved.

Lemma 1.3, [2, Theorem 3] and Theorem 3 yield a description of spectra of simple orthogonal groups of odd dimension over fields of characteristic 2.

Corollary 3. *Let q be a power of 2 and $G = \Omega_{2n+1}(q) \simeq Sp_{2n}(q)$, $n \geqslant 2$. Then $\omega(G)$ consists of all divisors of the following numbers:*

1) $[q^{n_1} + \varepsilon_1 1, q^{n_2} + \varepsilon_2 1, \dots, q^{n_s} + \varepsilon_s 1]$ *for all $s \geqslant 1$, $\varepsilon_i \in \{+, -\}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$, and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s > 0$ such that $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_s = n$;*

2) $2[q^{n_1} + \varepsilon_1 1, q^{n_2} + \varepsilon_2 1, \dots, q^{n_s} + \varepsilon_s 1]$ *for all $s \geqslant 1$, $\varepsilon_i \in \{+, -\}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$, and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s > 0$ such that $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_s = n - 1$;*

3) $2^k[q^{n_1} + \varepsilon_1 1, q^{n_2} + \varepsilon_2 1, \dots, q^{n_s} + \varepsilon_s 1]$ *for all $s \geqslant 1$, $k \geqslant 2$, $\varepsilon_i \in \{+, -\}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$, and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s > 0$ such that $2^{k-2} + 1 + n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_s = n$;*

4) 2^k, *if $2^{k-2} + 1 = n$ for some $k \geqslant 2$.*

Theorem 4. *Let $G = \Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)$, where $n \geqslant 4$, $\varepsilon \in \{+,-\}$ and q is a power of 2. Let $\nu(G)$ to consist of the following numbers:*

1) $2^k[q^{\alpha_1}-1, q^{\alpha_2}-1, \dots, q^{\alpha_a}-1, q^{\beta_1}+1, q^{\beta_2}+1, \dots, q^{\beta_b}+1]$ *for all* $s \geqslant 1$ *and* $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_a, \beta_1 \dots, \beta_b > 0$ *such that* $2^{k-2}+2+\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_a+\beta_1+\dots+\beta_b = n$*;*

2) $2[q^{\alpha_1}-1, q^{\alpha_2}-1, \dots, q^{\alpha_a}-1, q^{\beta_1}+1, q^{\beta_2}+1, \dots, q^{\beta_b}+1]$ *for all* $s \geqslant 1$ *and* $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_a, \beta_1 \dots, \beta_b > 0$ *such that* $2+\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_a+\beta_1+\dots+\beta_b = n$*;*

3) $2[q\pm 1, q^{\alpha_1}-1, q^{\alpha_2}-1, \dots, q^{\alpha_a}-1, q^{\beta_1}+1, q^{\beta_2}+1, \dots, q^{\beta_b}+1]$ *for all* $s \geqslant 1$*,* l *is even, if* $\varepsilon = +$*, and odd, if* $\varepsilon = -$*, and* $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_a, \beta_1 \dots, \beta_b > 0$ *such that* $2+\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_a+\beta_1+\dots+\beta_b = n$*;*

4) $4[q-1, q^{\alpha_1}-1, q^{\alpha_2}-1, \dots, q^{\alpha_a}-1, q^{\beta_1}+1, q^{\beta_2}+1, \dots, q^{\beta_b}+1]$ *for all* $s \geqslant 1$*,* l *is even, if* $\varepsilon = +$*, and odd, if* $\varepsilon = -$*, and* $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_a, \beta_1 \dots, \beta_b > 0$ *such that* $3+\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_a+\beta_1+\dots+\beta_b = n$*.*

5) $4[q+1, q^{\alpha_1}-1, q^{\alpha_2}-1, \dots, q^{\alpha_a}-1, q^{\beta_1}+1, q^{\beta_2}+1, \dots, q^{\beta_b}+1]$ *for all* $s \geqslant 1$*, odd* l*, if* $\varepsilon = +$*, and even, if* $\varepsilon = -$*, and* $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_a, \beta_1 \dots, \beta_b > 0$ *such that* $3+\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_a+\beta_1+\dots+\beta_b = n$*.*

Then $\mu_m(G) \subseteq \nu(G) \subseteq \omega(G)$*.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon = +$. We shall prove the inclusion $\nu(G) \subseteq \omega(G)$ first. For every element m of $\nu(G)$ we will find a reductive subgroup H such that $m = \eta(H)$.

Let $m = 2^k[q^{\alpha_1}-1, q^{\alpha_2}-1, \dots, q^{\alpha_a}-1, q^{\beta_1}+1, q^{\beta_2}+1, \dots, q^{\beta_b}+1]$, where b is even and $k \geqslant 2$. Put $n_0 = 2^{k-2}+2$. Then subgroup H can be chosen as a subgroup isomorphic to the direct product

$$\Omega^{+}_{2n_0}(q) \times (q^{\alpha_1}-1) \times (q^{\alpha_2}-1) \times \dots \times (q^{\alpha_a}-1) \times (q^{\beta_1}+1) \times (q^{\beta_2}+1) \times \dots \times (q^{\beta_b}+1).$$

Let $m = 2^k[q^{\alpha_1}-1, q^{\alpha_2}-1, \dots, q^{\alpha_a}-1, q^{\beta_1}+1, q^{\beta_2}+1, \dots, q^{\beta_b}+1]$, where b is odd and $k \geqslant 2$. Put $n_0 = 2^{k-2}+2$. Then subgroup H can be chosen as a subgroup isomorphic to the direct product

$$\Omega^{-}_{2n_0}(q) \times (q^{\alpha_1}-1) \times (q^{\alpha_2}-1) \times \dots \times (q^{\alpha_a}-1) \times (q^{\beta_1}+1) \times (q^{\beta_2}+1) \times \dots \times (q^{\beta_b}+1).$$

Let $m = 2[q^{\alpha_1}-1, q^{\alpha_2}-1, \dots, q^{\alpha_a}-1, q^{\beta_1}+1, q^{\beta_2}+1, \dots, q^{\beta_b}+1]$, where b is even. Then subgroup H can be chosen as a subgroup isomorphic to the direct product

$$\Omega^{+}_{4}(q) \times (q^{\alpha_1}-1) \times (q^{\alpha_2}-1) \times \dots \times (q^{\alpha_a}-1) \times (q^{\beta_1}+1) \times (q^{\beta_2}+1) \times \dots \times (q^{\beta_b}+1).$$

Let $m = 2[q^{\alpha_1}-1, q^{\alpha_2}-1, \dots, q^{\alpha_a}-1, q^{\beta_1}+1, q^{\beta_2}+1, \dots, q^{\beta_b}+1]$, where b is odd. Then subgroup H can be chosen as a subgroup isomorphic to the direct product

$$\Omega^{-}_{4}(q) \times (q^{\alpha_1}-1) \times (q^{\alpha_2}-1) \times \dots \times (q^{\alpha_a}-1) \times (q^{\beta_1}+1) \times (q^{\beta_2}+1) \times \dots \times (q^{\beta_b}+1).$$

Let $m = 2[q-1, q^{\alpha_1}-1, q^{\alpha_2}-1, \dots, q^{\alpha_a}-1, q^{\beta_1}+1, q^{\beta_2}+1, \dots, q^{\beta_b}+1]$. Then subgroup H can be chosen as a subgroup isomorphic to the direct product

$$GL_2(q)\times(q^{\alpha_1}-1)\times(q^{\alpha_2}-1)\times\dots\times(q^{\alpha_a}-1)\times(q^{\beta_1}+1)\times(q^{\beta_2}+1)\times\dots\times(q^{\beta_b}+1).$$

Let $m = 2[q+1, q^{\alpha_1}-1, q^{\alpha_2}-1, \dots, q^{\alpha_a}-1, q^{\beta_1}+1, q^{\beta_2}+1, \dots, q^{\beta_b}+1]$. Then subgroup H can be chosen as a subgroup isomorphic to the direct product

$$GU_2(q)\times(q^{\alpha_1}-1)\times(q^{\alpha_2}-1)\times\dots\times(q^{\alpha_a}-1)\times(q^{\beta_1}+1)\times(q^{\beta_2}+1)\times\dots\times(q^{\beta_b}+1).$$

Let $m = 4[q-1, q^{\alpha_1}-1, q^{\alpha_2}-1, \dots, q^{\alpha_a}-1, q^{\beta_1}+1, q^{\beta_2}+1, \dots, q^{\beta_b}+1]$. Then subgroup H can be chosen as a subgroup isomorphic to the direct product

$$GL_3(q)\times(q^{\alpha_1}-1)\times(q^{\alpha_2}-1)\times\dots\times(q^{\alpha_a}-1)\times(q^{\beta_1}+1)\times(q^{\beta_2}+1)\times\dots\times(q^{\beta_b}+1).$$

Let $m = 4[q+1, q^{\alpha_1}-1, q^{\alpha_2}-1, \dots, q^{\alpha_a}-1, q^{\beta_1}+1, q^{\beta_2}+1, \dots, q^{\beta_b}+1]$. Then subgroup H can be chosen as a subgroup isomorphic to the direct product

$$GU_3(q)\times(q^{\alpha_1}-1)\times(q^{\alpha_2}-1)\times\dots\times(q^{\alpha_a}-1)\times(q^{\beta_1}+1)\times(q^{\beta_2}+1)\times\dots\times(q^{\beta_b}+1).$$

Now we will prove the inclusion $\mu_m(G) \subseteq \nu(G)$. Let H be a reductive subgroup of maximal rank, which is not a maximal torus, whose structure is determined by partitions $\xi^{(i)}$ and $\zeta^{(i)}$, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant a$, $\theta^{(i)}$ and $\upsilon^{(i)}$, where $2 \leqslant i \leqslant b$. Since H is not a maximal torus, either $a > 1$ or $b > 1$. By formula (4) the center of H is isomorphic to the direct product

$$\prod_{i,j}(q^{\xi_j^{(i)}}-1)\times\prod_{i,j}(q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}+1).$$

We have

$$\eta(H) = 2^k[\operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\xi_j^{(i)}}-1\}, \operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}+1\}],$$

where k is such that 2^k is the least power of 2, which is greater than $\max\{a-1, 2b-3\}$, i.e. the greatest power of 2 lying in $\omega(H)$. Put $n_0 = 2^{k-2}+2$, if $k \geqslant 2$, and $n_0 = 2$, if $k = 1$. Then $n_0 \leqslant \max\{(a+3)/2, b\}$.

We have

$$|\xi^{(1)}| + |\zeta^{(1)}| + 2(|\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}|) + \dots + a(|\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}|)+$$

$$+2(|\theta^{(2)}| + |\upsilon^{(2)}|) + \dots + b(|\theta^{(b)}| + |\upsilon^{(b)}|) = n.$$

Put

$$x = |\xi^{(1)}| + |\zeta^{(1)}| + |\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}| + \dots + |\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}| + n_0.$$

Let us find the conditions under which the inequality $x \leqslant n$ holds. Assume that $\max\{(a+3)/2, b\} = b$. Then $n_0 \leqslant b(|\theta^{(b)}| + |\upsilon^{(b)}|)$ and, therefore, $x \leqslant n$. Assume that $\max\{(a+3)/2, b\} = (a+3)/2$. If $a > 4$, then

$$n_0+|\xi^{(a)}|+|\zeta^{(a)}| \leqslant \frac{a+3}{2}+|\xi^{(a)}|+|\zeta^{(a)}| \leqslant a-1+|\xi^{(a)}|+|\zeta^{(a)}| \leqslant a(|\xi^{(a)}|+|\zeta^{(a)}|).$$

If $a = 4$, then $n_0 = 3$. In this case we have

$$n_0 + |\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}| = a - 1 + |\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}| \leqslant a(|\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}|).$$

If $a = 2$ or $a = 3$, then x can be greater than n.

Let $a = 3$. Then $n_0 = 3$ and $b \leqslant 3$. Assume that $x > n$. We have

$$|\xi^{(1)}|+|\zeta^{(1)}|+|\xi^{(2)}|+|\zeta^{(2)}|+|\xi^{(3)}|+|\zeta^{(3)}|+3 > |\xi^{(1)}|+|\zeta^{(1)}|+2(|\xi^{(2)}|+|\zeta^{(2)}|)+3(|\xi^{(3)}|+|\zeta^{(3)}|)+$$

$$+2(|\theta^{(2)}| + |\upsilon^{(2)}|) + 3(|\theta^{(3)}| + |\upsilon^{(3)}|).$$

Therefore,

$$3 > |\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}| + 2(|\xi^{(3)}| + |\zeta^{(3)}|) + 2(|\theta^{(2)}| + |\upsilon^{(2)}|) + 3(|\theta^{(3)}| + |\upsilon^{(3)}|).$$

This implies that $|\xi^{(3)}| + |\zeta^{(3)}| = 1$, $|\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}| = 0$ and $b = 0$. The group H corresponding to such collection of partitions is isomorphic to

$$GL_3(q) \times \prod_j (q^{\xi_j^{(1)}} - 1) \times \prod_j (q^{\zeta_j^{(1)}} + 1),$$

if $|\xi^{(3)}| = 1$, and isomorphic to

$$GU_3(q) \times \prod_j (q^{\xi_j^{(1)}} - 1) \times \prod_j (q^{\zeta_j^{(1)}} + 1),$$

if $|\zeta^{(3)}| = 1$. In both cases $\eta(H)$ lies in $\nu(G)$.

Let $a = 2$. Then $n_0 = 2$ and $b \leqslant 2$. Then the inequality $x > n$ implies that $|\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}| = 1$ and $b = 0$. The group H corresponding to such collection of partitions is isomorphic to

$$GL_2(q) \times \prod_j (q^{\xi_j^{(1)}} - 1) \times \prod_j (q^{\zeta_j^{(1)}} + 1),$$

if $|\xi^{(2)}| = 1$, and isomorphic to

$$GU_2(q) \times \prod_j (q^{\xi_j^{(1)}} - 1) \times \prod_j (q^{\zeta_j^{(1)}} + 1),$$

if $|\zeta^{(2)}|=1$. In both cases $\eta(H)$ lies in $\nu(G)$.

Thus, it remains to consider the cases when $x \leqslant n$. If the sum of lengths of partitions $\zeta^{(i)}$ over all i is even, then G contains a subgroup H_1 isomorphic to the direct product

$$\Omega^+_{2n_0}(q) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\xi^{(i)}_j}-1) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\zeta^{(i)}_j}+1) \times (q^{n-x}-1),$$

if the sum is odd, then G contains a subgroup H_1 isomorphic to

$$\Omega^-_{2n_0}(q) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\xi^{(i)}_j}-1) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\zeta^{(i)}_j}+1) \times (q^{n-x}-1).$$

In both cases we have

$$\eta(H_1) = 2^k[\operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\xi^{(i)}_j}-1\}, \operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\zeta^{(i)}_j}+1\}, q^{n-x}-1].$$

This number is divided by $\eta(H)$ and lies in $\nu(G)$. By word for word repeating of this proof with interchanging the word "even" and "odd", we obtain the proof for the case $\varepsilon = -$. The theorem is proved.

As a corollary of Lemma 1.3, [2, Theorem 7] and Theorem 4 we obtain a description of spectra of simple orthogonal groups of eve dimension over field of characteristic 2.

Corollary 4. *Let $G = \Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)$, where $n \geqslant 4$, $\varepsilon \in \{+,-\}$ and q is a power of 2. Then $\omega(G)$ consists of all divisors of the following numbers:*

1) $[q^{n_1}+1, q^{n_2}+1, \dots, q^{n_l}+1, q^{n_{l+1}}-1, q^{n_{l+2}}-1, \dots, q^{n_s}-1]$ *for all $s \geqslant 1$, l is even, if $\varepsilon = +$, and odd, if $\varepsilon = -$, and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s > 0$ such that $n_1+n_2+\dots+n_s = n$;*

2) $2^k[q^{n_1}+1, q^{n_2}+1, \dots, q^{n_l}+1, q^{n_{l+1}}-1, q^{n_{l+2}}-1, \dots, q^{n_s}-1]$ *for all $s \geqslant 1$ and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s > 0$ such that $2^{k-2}+2+n_1+n_2+\dots+n_s = n$;*

3) $2[q^{n_1}+1, q^{n_2}+1, \dots, q^{n_l}+1, q^{n_{l+1}}-1, q^{n_{l+2}}-1, \dots, q^{n_s}-1]$ *for all $s \geqslant 1$ and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s > 0$ such that $2+n_1+n_2+\dots+n_s = n$;*

4) $2[q \pm 1, q^{n_1}+1, q^{n_2}+1, \dots, q^{n_l}+1, q^{n_{l+1}}-1, q^{n_{l+2}}-1, \dots, q^{n_s}-1]$ *for all $s \geqslant 1$, l is even, if $\varepsilon = +$, and odd, if $\varepsilon = -$, and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s > 0$ such that $2+n_1+n_2+\dots+n_s = n$;*

5) $4[q-1, q^{n_1}+1, q^{n_2}+1, \dots, q^{n_s}+1]$ *for all $s \geqslant 1$ which is even, if $\varepsilon = +$, and odd, if $\varepsilon = -$, and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s > 0$ such that $3+n_1+n_2+\dots+n_s = n$;*

6) $4[q+1, q^{n_1}+1, q^{n_2}+1, \dots, q^{n_l}+1, q^{n_{l+1}}-1, q^{n_{l+2}}-1, \dots, q^{n_s}-1]$ *for all* $s \geqslant 1$, l *is odd, if* $\varepsilon = +$, *and even, if* $\varepsilon = -$, *and* $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s > 0$ *such that* $3 + n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_s = n$;

7) 2^k, *if* $n = 2^{k-2} + 2$ *for some* $k > 2$.

Let us proceed to a description of spectra of orthogonal groups over fields of odd characteristic.

Theorem 5. *Let* $G = SO_{2n+1}(q)$, *where* $n \geqslant 2$ *and* q *is a power of an odd prime* p. *Assume that for every* $k \geqslant 1$ *such that* $n_0 = (p^{k-1}+1)/2 < n$ *and for every pair of partitions* $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_a)$ *and* $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_b)$ *such that* $n - n_0 = |\alpha| + |\beta|$ *the set* $\nu(G)$ *contains a number*

$$p^k[q^{\alpha_1}-1, q^{\alpha_2}-1, \dots, q^{\alpha_a}-1, q^{\beta_1}+1, q^{\beta_2}+1, \dots, q^{\beta_b}+1],$$

and does not contain any other number. Then $\mu_m(G) \subseteq \nu(G) \subseteq \omega(G)$.

Proof. Let us prove the inclusion $\nu(G) \subseteq \omega(G)$. Let H be a reductive subgroup of G isomorphic to

$$SO_{2n_0+1}(q) \times (q^{\alpha_1}-1) \times (q^{\alpha_2}-1) \times \dots \times (q^{\alpha_a}-1) \times (q^{\beta_1}+1) \times (q^{\beta_2}+1) \times \dots \times (q^{\beta_b}+1).$$

Then $\eta(H) = p^k[q^{\alpha_1}-1, q^{\alpha_2}-1, \dots, q^{\alpha_a}-1, q^{\beta_1}+1, q^{\beta_2}+1, \dots, q^{\beta_b}+1]$.

Let us prove that $\mu_m(G) \subseteq \nu(G)$. Let H be a reductive subgroup of maximal rank of G, which is not a maximal torus. Suppose that the structure of H is determined by partitions $\xi^{(i)}$ and $\zeta^{(i)}$, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant a$, $\theta^{(i)}$ and $\upsilon^{(i)}$, where $2 \leqslant i \leqslant b$. Since H is not a maximal torus, either $a > 1$, or $b > 1$, or $\rho > 0$, where ρ satisfies the equality (3). By formula (4) the center of H is isomorphic to the direct product

$$\prod_{i,j}(q^{\xi_j^{(i)}}-1) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}+1) \times \prod_{i,j} Z(H_{ij}^{\theta}) \times \prod_{i,j} Z(H_{ij}^{\upsilon}).$$

Put $e = \exp(\prod_{i,j} Z(H_{ij}^{\theta}) \times \prod_{i,j} Z(H_{ij}^{\upsilon}))$. Note that e can take only two values: 1 or 2. We have

$$\eta(H) = p^k[\operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\xi_j^{(i)}}-1\}, \operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}+1\}, e],$$

where k is such that p^k is the least power of p which is greater than $\max\{a-1, 2b-3, 2\rho-1\}$, i.e. the greatest power of p lying in $\omega(H)$. Put $n_0 = (p^{k-1}+1)/2$. Then $n_0 \leqslant \max\{a/2, b-1, \rho\}$.

We have

$$|\xi^{(1)}| + |\zeta^{(1)}| + 2(|\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}|) + \dots + a(|\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}|) +$$

$$+2(|\theta^{(2)}|+|\upsilon^{(2)}|)+\dots+b(|\theta^{(b)}|+|\upsilon^{(b)}|)+\rho=n.$$

Put

$$x=|\xi^{(1)}|+|\zeta^{(1)}|+|\xi^{(2)}|+|\zeta^{(2)}|+\dots+|\xi^{(a)}|+|\zeta^{(a)}|+n_0.$$

Let us show that $x\leqslant n$. Assume that $\max\{a/2,b-1,\rho\}=a/2$. Then

$$n_0+|\xi^{(a)}|+|\zeta^{(a)}|\leqslant\frac{a}{2}+|\xi^{(a)}|+|\zeta^{(a)}|\leqslant a-1+|\xi^{(a)}|+|\zeta^{(a)}|\leqslant a(|\xi^{(a)}|+|\zeta^{(a)}|).$$

If $\max\{a/2,b-1,\rho\}=b-1$, then $n_0\leqslant b(|\theta^{(b)}|+|\upsilon^{(b)}|)$. If $\max\{a/2,b-1,\rho\}=\rho$, then $n_0\leqslant\rho$. Thus, in all cases we obtain that $x\leqslant n$. Therefore, group G contains a reductive subgroup H_1 isomorphic to

$$SO_{2n_0+1}\times\prod_{i,j}(q^{\xi_j^{(i)}}-1)\times\prod_{i,j}(q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}+1)\times(q^{n-x}-1).$$

We have

$$\eta(H_1)=p^k[\operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\xi_j^{(i)}}-1\},\operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}+1\},q^{n-x}-1].$$

This number is divided by $\eta(H)$ if ay least one of the following conditions holds: either $a>0$, or $n>x$, or $e=1$. Assume that $a=0$, $n=x$ and $e=2$. Then $n=x=n_0$ and, therefore, $H=G$, but then $e=1$; a contradiction. The theorem is proved.

As a corollary of Lemma 1.3, [2, Proposition 4.1] and Theorem 5 we obtain the following statement.

Corollary 5. *Let $G=SO_{2n+1}(q)$, where $n\geqslant 2$, q is a power of an odd prime p. Then $\omega(G)$ consists of all divisors of the following numbers:*

1) *$[q^{n_1}+\varepsilon_1 1,q^{n_2}+\varepsilon_2 1,\dots,q^{n_s}+\varepsilon_s 1]$ for all $s\geqslant 1$, $\varepsilon_i\in\{+,-\}$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant s$, and $n_1,n_2,\dots,n_s>0$ such that $n_1+n_2+\dots+n_s=n$;*

2) *$p^k[q^{n_1}+\varepsilon_1 1,q^{n_2}+\varepsilon_2 1,\dots,q^{n_s}+\varepsilon_s 1]$ for all $s\geqslant 1$, $\varepsilon_i\in\{+,-\}$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant s$, and $k,n_1,n_2,\dots,n_s>0$ such that $p^{k-1}+1+2n_1+2n_2+\dots+2n_s=2n$;*

3) *p^k, if $p^{k-1}+1=2n$ for some $k>0$.*

We will need the following lemma to obtain a description of spectra of groups $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let H be a proper reductive subgroup of maximal rank of $SO_{2n+1}(q)$, where $n>2$ and q is a power of an odd prime p, isomorphic to*

$$SO_{2l+1}(q)\times(q^{\alpha_1}-1)\times(q^{\alpha_2}-1)\times\dots\times(q^{\alpha_a}-1)\times(q^{\beta_1}+1)\times(q^{\beta_2}+1)\times\dots\times(q^{\beta_b}+1),$$

$l+\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_a+\beta_1+\dots+\beta_b=n$. Let p^k be the maximal power ofp contained in the spectrum of $SO_{2l+1}(q)$. Then $\eta(H\cap\Omega_{2n+1}(q))$ is equal to

$$p^k[q^{\alpha_1}-1,q^{\alpha_2}-1,\dots,q^{\alpha_a}-1,q^{\beta_1}+1,q^{\beta_2}+1,\dots,q^{\beta_b}+1],$$

if $a+b\geqslant 2$, equal to $p^k(q^{\alpha_1}-1)/2$, if $a=1$ and $b=0$, and equal to $p^k(q^{\beta_1}+1)/2$, if $a=0$ and $b=1$.

Proof. Let G_1 be the subgroup of $SO_{2n+1}(q)$ consisting of all matrices of the form diag(A,E), where $A\in SO_{2(n-l)+1}(q)$. The center of H is isomorphic to the direct product $(q^{\alpha_1}-1)\times\dots\times(q^{\alpha_a}-1)\times(q^{\beta_1}+1)\times\dots\times(q^{\beta_b}+1)$ and conjugate in $SO_{2n+1}(\overline{F}_p)$ to some maximal torus S of G_1 corresponding to the pair of partitions $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_a)$ and $\beta=(\beta_1,\beta_2,\dots,\beta_b)$, where parts of partition α give lengths of positive cycles and parts of partition β give lengths of negative cycles. By [2, Lemma 4.2] the center of $H\cap\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ is isomorphic to the intersection of S and the commutator subgroup $O^{p'}(G_1)$ of G_1. Finally, [2, Theorem 5] yeilds that $\exp(S\cap O^{p'}(G_1))=\exp(S)$, if $a+b>1$, and $\exp(S\cap O^{p'}(G_1))=\exp(S)/2$, if $a+b=1$. The lemma is proved.

Since $\Omega_5(q)\simeq PSp_4(q)$, the case $n=2$ in the following theorem is not considered.

Theorem 6. *Let $G=\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$, where $n\geqslant 3$ and q is a power of an odd prime p. Assume that for every natural k such that $2n_0=p^{k-1}+1<2n$ and for every pair of partitions $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_a)$ and $\beta=(\beta_1,\beta_2,\dots,\beta_b)$ such that $n-n_0=|\alpha|+|\beta|$ the set $\nu(G)$ contains the number*

$$p^k[q^{\alpha_1}-1,q^{\alpha_2}-1,\dots,q^{\alpha_a}-1,q^{\beta_1}+1,q^{\alpha_2}+1,\dots,q^{\beta_b}+1],$$

if $a+b\geqslant 2$, contains the number $p^k(q^{\alpha_1}-1)/2$, if $a=1$ and $b=0$, contains the number $p^k(q^{\beta_1}+1)/2$, if $a=0$ and $b=1$, and does not contain any other number. Then $\mu_m(G)\subseteq\nu(G)\subseteq\omega(G)$.

Proof. Lemma 4.1 implies the inclusion $\nu(G)\subseteq\omega(G)$. Let us show that $\mu_m(G)\subseteq\nu(G)$. Let H be a reductive subgroup of maximal rank of G, which is not a maximal torus. Consider the subgroup H_1 of $SO_{2n+1}(q)$ such that $H=H_1\cap\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$. Suppose that the structure of H_1 is determined by partitions $\xi^{(i)}$, $\zeta^{(i)}$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant a$, and $\theta^{(i)}$, $\upsilon^{(i)}$, $2\leqslant i\leqslant b$. Then, as it was mentioned above, its center is isomorphic to the direct product

$$\prod_{i,j}(q^{\xi_j^{(i)}}-1)\times\prod_{i,j}(q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}+1)\times\prod_{i,j}Z(H_{ij}^{\theta})\times\prod_{i,j}Z(H_{ij}^{\upsilon}).$$

Put $e=\exp(\prod_{i,j}Z(H_{ij}^{\theta})\times\prod_{i,j}Z(H_{ij}^{\upsilon}))$. We have

$$\eta(H_1)=p^k[\operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\xi_j^{(i)}}-1\},\operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}+1\},e],$$

where k is such that p^k is the least power of p which is greater than $\max\{a-1, 2b-3, 2\rho-1\}$, i. e. the greatest power of p lying in $\omega(H_1)$. Then $\eta(H) = \eta(H_1)/c$, where c takes values 1 or 2 depending on structure of H_1. Put $n_0 = (p^{k-1}+1)/2$ and

$$x = |\xi^{(1)}| + |\zeta^{(1)}| + |\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}| + \dots + |\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}| + n_0.$$

In the proof of Theorem 5 we showed that $x \leqslant n$. Therefore, $SO_{2n+1}(q)$ contains a subgroup K isomorphic to the direct product

$$SO_{2n_0+1}(q) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\xi_j^{(i)}}-1) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}+1) \times \underbrace{(q-1)\times(q-1)\times\dots\times(q-1)}_{n-x}.$$

We have

$$\eta(K) = p^k[\operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\xi_j^{(i)}}-1\}, \operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}}+1\}, b],$$

where $b = q-1$, if $n-x>0$, and $b=1$, if $n-x=0$. If the center $Z(K)$ is not cyclic, then Lemma 4.1 implies that $\eta(K) = \eta(K\cap\Omega_{2n+1}(q))$. Thus, in this case $\eta(K\cap\Omega_{2n+1}(q))$ is divided by $\eta(H_1)$ and, therefore, divide by $\eta(H)$.

The center of K is cyclic only if one of the following conditions holds:

1) $n-x=0$ and the sum of lengths of partitions $\xi^{(i)}$ and $\zeta^{(i)}$ over all i is equal to 1;

2) $n-x=1$ and $a=0$.

Consider the first case. Recall that

$$n = |\xi^{(1)}| + |\zeta^{(1)}| + 2(|\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}|) + \dots + a(|\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}|) +$$
$$+2(|\theta^{(2)}| + |\upsilon^{(2)}|) + \dots + b(|\theta^{(b)}| + |\upsilon^{(b)}|) + \rho.$$

By substituting in equality $n=x$ the expressions for n and x, we obtain the following equality

$$n_0 = |\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}| + \dots + (a-1)(|\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}|) +$$
$$+2(|\theta^{(2)}| + |\upsilon^{(2)}|) + \dots + b(|\theta^{(b)}| + |\upsilon^{(b)}|) + \rho.$$

Therefore, $n_0 \geqslant \max\{a-1, b, \rho\}$. We have $n_0 \leqslant \max\{a/2, b-1, \rho\}$. Assume that $\max\{a/2, b-1, \rho\} = a/2$. Then $a=2$, $n_0=1$ and $b=\rho=0$, i.e. $n=2$ contrary to the hypothesis. The equality $\max\{a/2, b-1, \rho\} = b-1$ obviously leads to a contradiction. Assume that $\max\{a/2, b-1, \rho\} = \rho$. Then $n_0=\rho$, $b=0$ and $a=1$. Hence H_1 is isomorphic to

$$SO_{2n_0+1}(q) \times \prod_j(q^{\xi_j^{(1)}}-1) \times \prod_j(q^{\zeta_j^{(1)}}+1).$$

Lemma 4.1 implies that $\eta(H) \in \nu(G)$.

Consider the second case. We have

$$n_0 = 2(|\theta^{(2)}| + |\upsilon^{(2)}|) + \dots + b(|\theta^{(b)}| + |\upsilon^{(b)}|) + \rho - 1.$$

Therefore, $n_0 \geqslant \max\{b-1, \rho-1\}$. Thus, n_0 is equal to either $b-1$, or $\rho-1$, or ρ. If n_0 equals $\rho-1$ or ρ, then $b = 0$ and $H = G$. Suppose that $n_0 = b-1$. Then $\rho = 0$, $n = b$ and $|\theta^{(b)}| + |\upsilon^{(b)}| = 1$. This equalities imply that either $H_1 \simeq SO^+_{2n}(q)$, or $H_1 \simeq SO^-_{2n}(q)$. Therefore, $\eta(H) = p^k d$, where d equals 1 or 2. Consider reductive subgroups of maximal rank of $SO_{2n+1}(q)$ isomorphic to $SO_{2n_0+1}(q) \times (q-1)$ and $SO_{2n_0+1}(q) \times (q+1)$. By Lemma 4.1 the values of η on intersection of these subgroups with $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ equal to $p^k(q-1)/2$ and $p^k(q+1)/2$ respectively and lie in $\nu(G)$. One of the numbers $(q-1)/2$ and $(q+1)/2$ is even, therefore, $\eta(H)$ divides either $p^k(q-1)/2$, or $p^k(q+1)/2$. The theorem is proved.

As a corollary of Lemma 1.3, [2, Theorem 4] and Theorem 6 we obtain a description of spectra of simple orthogonal groups of odd dimension over fields of odd characteristic.

Corollary 6. *Let $G = \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$, where $n \geqslant 3$, q is a power of an odd prime p. Then $\omega(G)$ consists of all divisors of the following numbers:*

1) $\frac{q^n \pm 1}{2}$;

2) $[q^{n_1} + \varepsilon_1 1, q^{n_2} + \varepsilon_2 1, \dots, q^{n_s} + \varepsilon_s 1]$ *for all* $s \geqslant 2$, $\varepsilon_i \in \{+,-\}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$, *and* $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s > 0$ *such that* $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_s = n$;

3) $p^k \frac{q^{n_1} \pm 1}{2}$ *for all* k *and* n_1 *such that* $p^{k-1} + 1 + 2n_1 = 2n$;

4) $p^k[q^{n_1} + \varepsilon_1 1, q^{n_2} + \varepsilon_2 1, \dots, q^{n_s} + \varepsilon_s 1]$ *for all* $s \geqslant 2$, $\varepsilon_i \in \{+,-\}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$, *and* $k, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s > 0$ *such that* $p^{k-1} + 1 + 2n_1 + 2n_2 + \dots + 2n_s = 2n$;

5) p^k, *if* $p^{k-1} + 1 = 2n$ *for some* $k > 0$.

Theorem 7. *Let $G = SO^\varepsilon_{2n}(q)$, where $n \geqslant 4$, $\varepsilon \in \{+,-\}$ and q is a power of an odd prime p. Assume that for every natural $k \geqslant 1$ such that $n_0 = (p^{k-1}+3)/2 < n$ and for every pair of partitions $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_a)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_b)$ such that $n - n_0 = |\alpha| + |\beta|$ the set $\nu(G)$ contains the number*

$$p^k[q^{\alpha_1} - 1, q^{\alpha_2} - 1, \dots, q^{\alpha_a} - 1, q^{\beta_1} + 1, q^{\beta_2} + 1, \dots, q^{\beta_b} + 1],$$

for every pair of partitions $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_c)$ *and* $\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_d)$*, where* d *is even, if* $\varepsilon = +$*, and odd, if* $\varepsilon = -$*, such that* $n - 2 = |\gamma| + |\delta|$*, contains the number*

$$p[q \pm 1, q^{\gamma_1} - 1, q^{\gamma_2} - 1, \ldots, q^{\gamma_c} - 1, q^{\delta_1} + 1, q^{\delta_2} + 1, \ldots, q^{\delta_d} + 1],$$

contains the number $2p^k$*, if* $2n = p^{k-1}+3$ *for some* $k > 0$*, and does not contain any other number. Then* $\mu_m(G) \subseteq \nu(G) \subseteq \omega(G)$*.*

Proof. We shall prove the inclusion $\nu(G) \subseteq \omega(G)$ first. For every element m of $\nu(G)$ we will find a reductive subgroup H such that $m = \eta(H)$.

Let $m = p^k[q^{\alpha_1} - 1, q^{\alpha_2} - 1, \ldots, q^{\alpha_a} - 1, q^{\beta_1} + 1, q^{\beta_2} + 1, \ldots, q^{\beta_b} + 1]$, where b is even and $|\alpha| + |\beta| = n - n_0$. Then subgroup H can be chosen as a subgroup isomorphic to the direct product

$$\Omega^+_{2n_0}(q) \times (q^{\alpha_1} - 1) \times (q^{\alpha_2} - 1) \times \cdots \times (q^{\alpha_a} - 1) \times (q^{\beta_1} + 1) \times (q^{\beta_2} + 1) \times \cdots \times (q^{\beta_b} + 1).$$

Let $m = p^k[q^{\alpha_1} - 1, q^{\alpha_2} - 1, \ldots, q^{\alpha_a} - 1, q^{\beta_1} + 1, q^{\beta_2} + 1, \ldots, q^{\beta_b} + 1]$, where b is odd and $|\alpha| + |\beta| = n - n_0$. Then subgroup H can be chosen as a subgroup isomorphic to the direct product

$$\Omega^-_{2n_0}(q) \times (q^{\alpha_1} - 1) \times (q^{\alpha_2} - 1) \times \cdots \times (q^{\alpha_a} - 1) \times (q^{\beta_1} + 1) \times (q^{\beta_2} + 1) \times \cdots \times (q^{\beta_b} + 1).$$

Let $m = p[q - 1, q^{\gamma_1} - 1, q^{\gamma_2} - 1, \ldots, q^{\gamma_c} - 1, q^{\delta_1} + 1, q^{\delta_2} + 1, \ldots, q^{\delta_d} + 1]$, where $|\gamma| + |\delta| = n - 2$. Then subgroup H can be chosen as a subgroup isomorphic to the direct product

$$GL_2(q) \times (q^{\gamma_1} - 1) \times (q^{\gamma_2} - 1) \times \cdots \times (q^{\gamma_c} - 1) \times (q^{\delta_1} + 1) \times (q^{\delta_2} + 1) \times \cdots \times (q^{\delta_d} + 1).$$

Let $m = p[q + 1, q^{\gamma_1} - 1, q^{\gamma_2} - 1, \ldots, q^{\gamma_c} - 1, q^{\delta_1} + 1, q^{\delta_2} + 1, \ldots, q^{\delta_d} + 1]$, $|\gamma| + |\delta| = n - 2$. Then subgroup H can be chosen as a subgroup isomorphic to the direct product

$$GU_2(q) \times (q^{\gamma_1} - 1) \times (q^{\gamma_2} - 1) \times \cdots \times (q^{\gamma_c} - 1) \times (q^{\delta_1} + 1) \times (q^{\delta_2} + 1) \times \cdots \times (q^{\delta_d} + 1).$$

In addition, if $2n = p^{k-1}+3$, then p^k is the maximal power of p contained in $\omega(G)$. The power p^k in not contained in the spectrum of any proper reductive subgroup. Thus, the number $\eta(G)$, equal to $2p^k$, lies in $\mu_m(G)$ and, therefore, must lie in $\nu(G)$. If $2n \neq p^{k-1} + 3$ for every $k \geqslant 1$, then $\eta(G)$ does not lie in $\mu(G)$ and is a divisor of $\eta(H)$ for some proper reductive subgroup H of G.

Now we shall prove the inclusion $\mu_m(G) \subseteq \nu(G)$. Let H ba a proper reductive subgroup of maximal rank, which is not a maximal torus, whose structure is determined by partitions $\xi^{(i)}$ and $\zeta^{(i)}$, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant a$, $\theta^{(i)}$ and

$\upsilon^{(i)}$, where $2 \leqslant i \leqslant b$. Since H is not a maximal torus, either $a > 1$ or $b > 1$. By formula (4) the center of H is isomorphic to the direct product

$$\prod_{i,j}(q^{\xi_j^{(i)}} - 1) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}} + 1) \times \prod_{i,j} Z(H_{ij}^{\theta}) \times \prod_{i,j} Z(H_{ij}^{\upsilon}).$$

Put $e = \exp(\prod_{i,j} Z(H_{ij}^{\theta}) \times \prod_{i,j} Z(H_{ij}^{\upsilon}))$. We have

$$\eta(H) = p^k[\operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\xi_j^{(i)}} - 1\}, \operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}} + 1\}, e],$$

where k is such that p^k is the least power of p which is greater than $\max\{a-1, 2b-3\}$, i. e. the greatest power of p lying in $\omega(H)$. Put $n_0 = (p^{k-1}+3)/2$. Then $n_0 \leqslant \max\{a/2+1, b\}$.

We have

$$|\xi^{(1)}| + |\zeta^{(1)}| + 2(|\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}|) + \cdots + a(|\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}|) +$$
$$+2(|\theta^{(2)}| + |\upsilon^{(2)}|) + \cdots + b(|\theta^{(b)}| + |\upsilon^{(b)}|) = n.$$

Put

$$x = |\xi^{(1)}| + |\zeta^{(1)}| + |\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}| + \cdots + |\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}| + n_0.$$

Let us find the conditions under which the inequality $x \leqslant n$ holds.

Assume that $\max\{a/2+1, b\} = b$. Then $n_0 \leqslant b(|\theta^{(b)}|+|\upsilon^{(b)}|)$ and, therefore, $x \leqslant n$. Assume that $\max\{a/2+1, b\} = a/2+1$. If $a \geqslant 4$, then

$$n_0+|\xi^{(a)}|+|\zeta^{(a)}| \leqslant \frac{a}{2}+1+|\xi^{(a)}|+|\zeta^{(a)}| \leqslant a-1+|\xi^{(a)}|+|\zeta^{(a)}| \leqslant a(|\xi^{(a)}|+|\zeta^{(a)}|).$$

If $a = 3$, then $n_0 = 2$. In this case we have

$$n_0 + |\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}| = a - 1 + |\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}| \leqslant a(|\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}|).$$

If $a = 2$, then x can be greater then n.

Let $a = 2$. Then $n_0 = 2$ and $b \leqslant 2$. Assume that $x > n$. We have

$$|\xi^{(1)}|+|\zeta^{(1)}|+|\xi^{(2)}|+|\zeta^{(2)}|+2 > |\xi^{(1)}|+|\zeta^{(1)}|+2(|\xi^{(2)}|+|\zeta^{(2)}|)+2(|\theta^{(2)}|+|\upsilon^{(2)}|).$$

Therefore,

$$2 > |\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}| + |\theta^{(2)}| + |\upsilon^{(2)}|.$$

This implies that $|\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}| = 1$ and $b = 0$. The group H corresponding to such collection of partitions is isomorphic to

$$GL_2(q) \times \prod_j(q^{\xi_j^{(1)}} - 1) \times \prod_j(q^{\zeta_j^{(1)}} + 1),$$

if $|\xi^{(2)}| = 1$, and isomorphic to

$$GU_2(q) \times \prod_j (q^{\xi_j^{(1)}} - 1) \times \prod_j (q^{\zeta_j^{(1)}} + 1),$$

if $|\zeta^{(2)}| = 1$. In both cases $\eta(H)$ lies in $\nu(G)$.

Thus, it remains to consider the cases when $x \leqslant n$. If the sum of lengths of partitions $\zeta^{(i)}$ over all i is even, then G contains a subgroup H_1 isomorphic to the direct product

$$\Omega^+_{2n_0}(q) \times \prod_{i,j} (q^{\xi_j^{(i)}} - 1) \times \prod_{i,j} (q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}} + 1) \times (q^{n-x} - 1),$$

if the sum is odd, then G contains a subgroup H_1 isomorphic to

$$\Omega^-_{2n_0}(q) \times \prod_{i,j} (q^{\xi_j^{(i)}} - 1) \times \prod_{i,j} (q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}} + 1) \times (q^{n-x} - 1).$$

In both cases we have

$$\eta(H_1) = p^k[\operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\xi_j^{(i)}} - 1\}, \operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}} + 1\}, q^{n-x} - 1].$$

This number is divided by $\eta(H)$ and lies in $\nu(G)$. The theorem is proved.

As a corollary of Lemma 1.3, [2, Proposition 4.1] and Theorem 7 we obtain the following statement.

Corollary 7. *Let $G = SO^\varepsilon_{2n}(q)$, where $n \geqslant 4$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ and q is a power of an odd prime p. Then $\omega(G)$ consists of all divisors of the following numbers:*

1) $[q^{n_1}+1, q^{n_2}+1, \dots, q^{n_l}+1, q^{n_{l+1}}-1, q^{n_{l+2}}-1, \dots, q^{n_s}-1]$ *for all $s \geqslant 1$, l is even, if $\varepsilon = +$, and odd, if $\varepsilon = -$, and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s > 0$ such that $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_s = n$;*

2) $p^k[q^{n_1}+1, q^{n_2}+1, \dots, q^{n_l}+1, q^{n_{l+1}}-1, q^{n_{l+2}}-1, \dots, q^{n_s}-1]$ *for all $s \geqslant 1$ and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s > 0$ such that $p^{k-1} + 3 + 2n_1 + 2n_2 + \dots + 2n_s = 2n$;*

3) $p[q \pm 1, q^{n_1}+1, q^{n_2}+1, \dots, q^{n_l}+1, q^{n_{l+1}}-1, q^{n_{l+2}}-1, \dots, q^{n_s}-1]$ *for all $s \geqslant 1$, l is even, if $\varepsilon = +$, and odd, if $\varepsilon = -$, and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s > 0$ such that $2 + n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_s = n$;*

4) $2p^k$, *if $2n = p^{k-1} + 3$ for some $k \geqslant 1$.*

In the following lemma $GL^+_2(q)$ denotes the group $GL_2(q)$, and $GL^-_2(q)$ denotes the group $GU_2(q)$.

Lemma 4.2. 1) *Let* H *be a proper reductive subgroup of maximal rank of* $SO^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)$, $\varepsilon \in \{+,-\}$, *equal to* $H_1 \times H_2$, *where* H_1 *is isomorphic to* $SO^{\varepsilon_1}_{2k}(q)$, $\varepsilon_1 \in \{+,-\}$, $k > 1$, *and subgroup* H_2 *is isomorphic to the direct product*

$$(q^{\alpha_1}-1)\times(q^{\alpha_2}-1)\times\cdots\times(q^{\alpha_a}-1)\times(q^{\beta_1}+1)\times(q^{\beta_2}+1)\times\cdots\times(q^{\beta_b}+1),$$

and $k+\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_a+\beta_2+\cdots+\beta_b = n$. *Then* $\eta(H\cap\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)) = [2, \eta(H)/2]$, *if* $a+b=1$ *and* $Z(\Omega^{\varepsilon_1}_{2k}(q)) \neq 1$, *and* $\eta(H\cap\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)) = \eta(H)$ *otherwise.*

Let $Z(\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)) \neq 1$ *and* $\tilde{H}$ *be the image of* $H\cap\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)$ *in* $P\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)$. *Then* $\eta(\tilde{H}) = \eta(H)$, *if* $a+b>1$, *and* $\eta(\tilde{H}) = \eta(H)/2$, *if* $a+b=1$.

2) *Let* H *be a proper reductive subgroup of maximal rank of* $SO^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)$, $\varepsilon \in \{+,-\}$, *equal to* $H_1\times H_2$, *where subgroup* H_1 *is isomorphic to* $GL^{\varepsilon_1}_2(q)$, $\varepsilon_1 \in \{+,-\}$, *and subgroup* H_2 *is isomorphic to the direct product*

$$(q^{\alpha_1}-1)\times(q^{\alpha_2}-1)\times\cdots\times(q^{\alpha_a}-1)\times(q^{\beta_1}+1)\times(q^{\beta_2}+1)\times\cdots\times(q^{\beta_b}+1),$$

and $2+\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_a+\beta_2+\cdots+\beta_b = n$. *Then* $\eta(H\cap\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)) = \eta(H)$, *if* $a+b>1$, *and* $\eta(H) = p[q-\varepsilon_1 1, (q^{n-2}-\varepsilon 1)/2]$, *if* $a+b=1$.

Let $Z(\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)) \neq 1$ *and* $\tilde{H}$ *be the image of* $H\cap\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)$ *in* $P\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)$. *Then* $\eta(\tilde{H}) = \eta(H\cap\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q))$.

Proof. Denote $Z(\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q))$ by Z.

1) Let T_1 be a maximal torus of H_1 isomorphic to the cyclic group of order $(q^k-\varepsilon_1 1)$. The subgroup T of H, equal to $T_1\times H_2$, is a maximal torus of $SO^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)$.

Define a pair of partitions α and β as follows: if $\varepsilon=+$, then $\alpha = (k,\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_a)$ and $\beta=(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_b)$, if $\varepsilon=-$, then $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_a)$ and $\beta=(k,\beta_1,\dots,\beta_b)$. Then structure of T is determined by the pair of partitions α and β, and by Lemma 3.4 the torus $T\cap\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)$ is conjugate in $\overline{H}$ to the group $\{t_1^{k_1}t_2^{k_2}\dots t_{a+b+1}^{k_{a+b+1}} \mid k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_{a+b+1}$ is even$\}$, where elements $t_1,\dots,t_{a+b+1}$ are defined before the statement of Lemma 3.4. Denote by t_0 the element t_1, if $\varepsilon=+$, and the element t_{a+1}, if $\varepsilon=-$. Then T_1 is conjugate to the group $\langle t_0\rangle$. We have

$$Z(H) = \langle t_0^{|t_0|/2}\rangle \times H_2.$$

Note that the number $|t_0|/2$ is even if and only if $Z(\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2k}(q)) \neq 1$.

Let $|t_0|/2$ be even. Then $Z(H\cap\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)) = \langle t_0^{|t_0|/2}\rangle\times(H_2\cap\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q))$. Now, [2, Theorems 5 and 6] implies that $\exp(H_2\cap\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)) = \exp(H_2)$, if $a+b>1$, and $\exp(H_2\cap\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)) = \exp(H_2)/2$, if $a+b=1$. Assume that $Z\neq 1$. Since group $H_2\cap\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)$ intersects with Z trivially, we have $\eta(H)=\eta(\tilde{H})$ for $a+b>1$. Let $a+b=1$. Then H_2 is cyclic. Denote by t a generator of H_2. We have $Z(H\cap\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)) = \langle t_0^{|t_0|/2}\rangle\times\langle t^2\rangle$, and $Z=\langle t_0^{|t_0|/2}t^{|t|/2}\rangle$. Since $Z\subseteq H\cap\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)$, the number $|t|/2$ is even. Therefore, the center of $H\cap\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q))$ can be presented as the direct product $\langle t_0^{|t_0|/2} t^{|t|/2}\rangle \times \langle t^2\rangle$. This implies that $Z(\tilde{H}) \simeq \langle t^2\rangle$ and, therefore, $\eta(\tilde{H}) = \eta(H)/2$.

Let $|t_0|/2$ be odd. Then $Z(H \cap \Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q))$ is conjugate in $\overline{H}$ to

$$\prod_{t_i \neq t_0} \langle t_0^{|t_0|/2} t_i\rangle \simeq H_2.$$

Therefore, $\eta(H \cap \Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)) = \eta(H)$. Assume that $Z \neq 1$. Lemma 1.4 implies that if $a + b > 1$, then $\eta(\tilde{H}) = \eta(H)$. If $a + b = 1$, then $\eta(\tilde{H}) = \eta(H)/2$. The first statement is proved.

2) Let T_1 be a maximal torus of H_1 isomorphic to a cyclic group of order $(q^2 - 1)$. Then the subgroup T of H, equal to $T_1 \times H_2$, is a maximal torus of $SO^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)$. Define a pair of partitions $\alpha = (2, \alpha_1 \ldots, \alpha_2)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_b)$. Then structure of T is determined by the pair of partitions α and β, and by Lemma 3.4 the torus $T \cap \Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)$ is conjugate in $\overline{H}$ to $\{t_1^{k_1} t_2^{k_2} \ldots t_{a+b+1}^{k_{a+b+1}} | k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_{a+b+1}$ is even$\}$, where elements $t_1, \ldots, t_{a+b+1}$ are defined before the statement of Lemma 3.4. We have $Z(H) = \langle t_1^{q+\varepsilon_1 1}\rangle \times H_2$. Since the number $q + \varepsilon_1 1$ is even, we obtain that $Z(H \cap \Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)) = \langle t_1^{q+\varepsilon_1 1}\rangle \times (H_2 \cap \Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q))$. Now, [2, Theorems 5 and 6] implies that $\exp(H_2 \cap \Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)) = \exp(H_2)$, if $a + b > 1$, and $\exp(H_2 \cap \Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)) = \exp(H_2)/2$, if $a + b = 1$.

Assume that $Z \neq 1$. By [2, Theorems 5 and 6] there exist elements $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{a+b}$ in H_2 such that $h_1 \notin \Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)$, $|h_1|_{\{2\}} \leqslant |h_2|_{\{2\}} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant |h_{a+b}|_{\{2\}}$ and $H_2 = \langle h_1\rangle \times \langle h_2\rangle \times \cdots \times \langle h_{a+b}\rangle$. Moreover, if the number $(|h_1|_{\{2\}} + |h_2|_{\{2\}} + \cdots + |h_{a+b}|_{\{2\}})/|h_1|_{\{2\}}$ is even, then the projection Z on H_2 is contained in the subgroup $\langle h_2\rangle$, and if it is odd, then the projection is contained in $\langle h_1^2\rangle$. We have $Z \leqslant \langle t_0^{q+\varepsilon_1 1}\rangle \times \langle h_1^2\rangle$ or $Z \leqslant \langle t_0^{q+\varepsilon_1 1}\rangle \times \langle h_2\rangle$. In both cases by applying Lemma 1.4 we obtain that $\eta(\tilde{H}) = \eta(H \cap \Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q))$. Lemma 4.2 is proved.

Theorem 8. *Let $G = \Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)$, where $n \geqslant 4$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ and q is a power of an odd prime p. For $k \geqslant 1$ put $n(k) = (p^{k-1} + 3)/2$. Let $\nu(G)$ to consist of the following numbers:*

1) *$p^k[d_k, \frac{q^{n-n(k)} \pm 1}{d_k}]$, $d_k = \frac{(4, q^{n(k)} - \varepsilon 1)}{2}$, for every k such that $n(k) < n$;*

2) *$p^k[q^{n_1} + 1, q^{n_2} + 1, \ldots, q^{n_l} + 1, q^{n_{l+1}} - 1, q^{n_{l+2}} - 1, \ldots, q^{n_s} - 1]$ for all $s > 1$ and $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s > 0$ such that $n(k) + n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_s = n$;*

3) *$p[q \pm 1, q^{n_1} + 1, q^{n_2} + 1, \ldots, q^{n_l} + 1, q^{n_{l+1}} - 1, q^{n_{l+2}} - 1, \ldots, q^{n_s} - 1]$ for all $s > 1$, l is even, if $\varepsilon = +$, and odd, if $\varepsilon = -$, and $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s > 0$ such that $2 + n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_s = n$;*

4) *$p[q \pm 1, \frac{q^{n-2} - \varepsilon 1}{2}]$;*

5) *$2p^k$, if $n = n(k)$ and $(4, q^n - \varepsilon 1) = 4$;*

6) $p(q^2 \pm 1)$, *if* $n = 4$ *and* $\varepsilon = +$.

7) $9(q \pm 1)$, *if* $n = 4$, $p = 3$ *and* $\varepsilon = +$.

Then $\mu_m(G) \subseteq \nu(G) \subseteq \omega(G)$.

Theorem 9. *Let* $G = P\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)$, *where* $n \geqslant 4$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ *and* q *is a power of an odd prime* p, *and* $(4, q^n - \varepsilon 1) = 4$. *For* $k \geqslant 1$ *put* $n(k) = (p^{k-1} + 3)/2$. *Let* $\nu(G)$ *to consist of the following numbers:*

1) $p^k \frac{q^{n-n(k)} \pm 1}{2}$ *for every* k *such that* $n(k) < n$;

2) $p^k[q^{n_1}+1, q^{n_2}+1, \ldots, q^{n_l}+1, q^{n_{l+1}}-1, q^{n_{l+2}}-1, \ldots, q^{n_s}-1]$ *for all* $s > 1$ *and* $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s > 0$ *such that* $n(k) + n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_s = n$;

3) $p[q \pm 1, q^{n_1}+1, q^{n_2}+1, \ldots, q^{n_l}+1, q^{n_{l+1}}-1, q^{n_{l+2}}-1, \ldots, q^{n_s}-1]$ *for all* $s > 1$, l *is even, if* $\varepsilon = +$, *and odd, if* $\varepsilon = -$, *and* $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s > 0$ *such that* $2 + n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_s = n$;

4) $p[q \pm 1, \frac{q^{n-2} - \varepsilon 1}{2}]$.

Then $\mu_m(G) \subseteq \nu(G) \subseteq \omega(G)$.

We will prove Theorems 8 and 9 simultaneously.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon = +$.

Let us prove that $\nu(G) \subseteq \omega(G)$. Notice that the number $2p^k$ in item 5) of Theorem 8 arises as $\eta(\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q))$, since the condition $(4, q^n - \varepsilon 1) = 4$ is equivalent to the condition $Z(\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)) \neq 1$. If $n \neq n(k)$ for every k, then Lemma 4.2 implies that $\eta(G)$ divides $\eta(H)$ for some proper reductive subgroup H. Further all considered reductive subgroup are proper. Let $n = 4$. Then $SO^+_8(q)$ contains subgroups H_1 and H_2 isomorphic to $GL_2(q^2)$ and $GU_2(q^2)$ respectively. The centers of H_1 and H_2 are contained in maximal tori of these groups isomorphic to a cyclic group of order $(q^4 - 1)$. Since numbers $(q^4 - 1)/(q^2 - 1)$ and $(q^4 - 1)/(q^2 + 1)$ are even, in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 4.2 we obtain that $Z(H_1)$ and $Z(H_2)$ are contained in $\Omega^+_8(q)$. Thus, we deduce that $\eta(H_1)$ and $\eta(H_2)$ lie in $\omega(\Omega^+_8(q))$. Let $n = 4$ and $p = 3$. Then $SO^+_8(q)$ contains subgroups H_1 and H_2 isomorphic to $GL_4(q)$ and $GU_4(q)$ respectively. In this case generators of the centers of these subgroups are again even powers of generators of cyclic tori of orders $q^4 - 1$. Thus, we deduce that $\eta(H_1)$ and $\eta(H_2)$ lie in $\omega(\Omega^+_8(q))$. The remaining inclusions follow from Lemma 4.2.

Let us prove the inclusion $\mu_m(G) \subseteq \nu(G)$. Let H be a proper reductive subgroup of maximal rank of $SO^+_{2n}(q)$, which is not a maximal torus. Denote by H_1 the group $H \cap \Omega^+_{2n}(q)$, and by H_2 the image of H_1 in $P\Omega^+_{2n}(q)$. Let structure of H be determined by partitions $\xi^{(i)}$ and $\zeta^{(i)}$, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant a$, $\theta^{(i)}$ and $\upsilon^{(i)}$, where $2 \leqslant i \leqslant b$. Since H is not a maximal torus, either $a > 1$ or $b > 1$. By formula (4) the center of H is isomorphic to the direct product

$$\prod_{i,j}(q^{\xi_j^{(i)}} - 1) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}} + 1) \times \prod_{i,j} Z(H^\theta_{ij}) \times \prod_{i,j} Z(H^\upsilon_{ij}).$$

Put $e = \exp(\prod_{i,j} Z(H^\theta_{ij}) \times \prod_{i,j} Z(H^\upsilon_{ij}))$. We have

$$\eta(H) = p^k[\operatorname{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\xi_j^{(i)}} - 1\}, \operatorname{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}} + 1\}, e],$$

where k is such that p^k is the least power of p which is greater than $\max\{a-1, 2b-3\}$, i.e. the greatest power of p lying in $\omega(H)$. We have $\eta(H_1) = \eta(H_1)/c_1$ and $\eta(H_2) = \eta(H)/c_2$, where $c_1 \in \{1, 2\}$ and $c_2 \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ and specific values of c_1 and c_2 depend on structure of H. Put $n_0 = (p^{k-1} + 3)/2$.

Put

$$x = |\xi^{(1)}| + |\zeta^{(1)}| + |\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}| + \dots + |\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}| + n_0.$$

By word for word repetition of arguments from the proof of Theorem 7 we obtain that $x > n$ implies that group H is isomorphic to

$$GL_2(q) \times \prod_j (q^{\xi_j^{(1)}} - 1) \times \prod_j (q^{\zeta_j^{(1)}} + 1),$$

or

$$GU_2(q) \times \prod_j (q^{\xi_j^{(1)}} - 1) \times \prod_j (q^{\zeta_j^{(1)}} + 1).$$

In both cases numbers $\eta(H_1)$ and $\eta(H_2)$ lie in corresponding sets $\nu(G)$.

Let $x \leqslant n$. If the sum of lengths of partitions $\zeta^{(i)}$ over all i is even, then $SO^+_{2n}(q)$ contains a subgroup K isomorphic to the direct product

$$SO^+_{2n_0}(q) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\xi_j^{(i)}} - 1) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}} + 1) \times \underbrace{(q-1) \times (q-1) \times \dots \times (q-1)}_{n-x},$$

if the sum is odd, then $SO^+_{2n}(q)$ contains a subgroup K isomorphic to the direct product

$$SO^-_{2n_0}(q) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\xi_j^{(i)}} - 1) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}} + 1) \times \underbrace{(q-1) \times (q-1) \times \dots \times (q-1)}_{n-x}.$$

We have
$$\eta(K) = p^k[\operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\xi_j^{(i)}} - 1\}, \operatorname*{lcm}_{i,j}\{q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}} + 1\}, b],$$
where $b = q - 1$, if $n - x > 0$, and $b = 1$, if $n - x = 0$. Denote by K_1 the intersection $K \cap \Omega^+_{2n}(q)$ and by K_2 the image of K_1 in $P\Omega^+_{2n}(q)$. If the sum of lengths of partitions $\xi^{(i)}$, $\zeta^{(i)}$ over all i and of number $(n-x)$ is greater than 1, then Lemma 4.2 implies that $\eta(K_1) = \eta(K_2) = \eta(K)$. Thus, $\eta(K)$ is divided by $\eta(H)$ and, therefore, by $\eta(H_1)$ and $\eta(H_2)$, and lies in $\nu(G)$. Assume that the sum of lengths of partitions $\xi^{(i)}$, $\zeta^{(i)}$ over all i and of number $(n-x)$ equals 1. Then one of the following conditions holds:

1) $n - x = 0$ and the sum of lengths of partitions $\xi^{(i)}$ and $\zeta^{(i)}$ over all i is equal to 1;

2) $n - x = 1$ and $a = 0$.

Consider the first case. Recall that
$$n = |\xi^{(1)}| + |\zeta^{(1)}| + 2(|\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}|) + \cdots + a(|\xi^{(a)}| + |\zeta^{(a)}|)+$$
$$+2(|\theta^{(2)}| + |\upsilon^{(2)}|) + \cdots + b(|\theta^{(b)}| + |\upsilon^{(b)}|).$$

By substituting in equality $n = x$ the expressions for n and x, we obtain the following equality
$$n_0 = |\xi^{(2)}|+|\zeta^{(2)}|+\cdots+(a-1)(|\xi^{(a)}|+|\zeta^{(a)}|)+2(|\theta^{(2)}|+|\upsilon^{(2)}|)+\cdots+b(|\theta^{(b)}|+|\upsilon^{(b)}|).$$
Therefore, $n_0 \geqslant \max\{a - 1, b\}$. We have $n_0 \leqslant \max\{a/2 + 1, b\}$.

Assume that $\max\{(a + 3)/2, b\} = b$. Then $n_0 = b$, $|\theta^{(b)}| + |\upsilon^{(b)}| = 1$, $|\theta^{(i)}| + |\upsilon^{(i)}| = 0$ for $i < b$ and $a = 1$. This implies that H is isomorphic to
$$SO^{\varepsilon_1}_{2n_0}(q) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\xi_j^{(i)}} - 1) \times \prod_{i,j}(q^{\zeta_j^{(i)}} + 1),$$
where $\varepsilon_1 = +$, if $|\theta^{(b)}| = 1$, and $\varepsilon_1 = -$, if $|\upsilon^{(b)}| = 1$. Lemma 4.2 implies that in this case numbers $\eta(H_1)$ and $\eta(H_2)$ lie in corresponding sets $\nu(G)$.

Assume that $\max\{a/2 + 1, b\} = a/2 + 1$. Then $2 \leqslant a \leqslant 4$. Let $a = 4$. Then $n_0 = 3$, $b = 0$, $n = 4$ $p = 3$. Thus, either $H \simeq GL_4(q)$ or $H \simeq GU_4(q)$. As it was shown above, in this case $\eta(H_1)$ equals either $9(q - 1)$ or $9(q + 1)$ and, therefore, lies in $\nu(\Omega^+_8(q))$. The center of H_2 in this case is isomorphic to a cyclic group of order $(q \pm 1)/2$. Thus, $\eta(H_2) = 3^2(q \pm 1)/2$. We obtain that $\eta(H_2)$ lies in $\nu(P\Omega^+_8(q))$. Notice that this case is impossible for $\varepsilon = -$, since $\Omega^-_8(q)$ does not contain subgroups isomorphic to $GL_4(q)$ or $GU_4(q)$. Let $a = 3$. Then $n_0 = 2$, $b = 0$ $n = 3$. The last contradicts with the hypothesis of the theorem. Let $a = 2$. Then $n_0 = 2$. We have $n_0 = 2 = (2 - 1)(|\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}|) + 2(|\theta^{(2)}| + |\upsilon^{(2)}|) + \cdots + b(|\theta^{(b)}| + |\upsilon^{(b)}|)$. This implies that $b = 0$ and $|\xi^{(2)}| + |\zeta^{(2)}| = 2$. Since the sum of lengths of partitions $\xi^{(i)}$ and $\zeta^{(i)}$ over all i equals 1, Proposition 3.3 implies that H is isomorphic to $GL_2(q^2)$, if $|\xi^{(2)}| = 2$, and isomorphic to $GU_2(q^2)$, if $|\zeta^{(2)}| = 2$. We have $n = 4$. Then, as it was shown above, $\eta(H_1)$ is equal to $p(q^2-1)$, if $H \simeq GL_2(q^2)$, equal to $p(q^2+1)$, if $H \simeq G_2(q^2)$, and lies in $\nu(\Omega_8^+(q))$. The center of H_2 in this case is isomorphic to a cyclic group of order $(q^2 \pm 1)/2$. Thus, $\eta(H_2) = p(q^2 \pm 1)/2$. We deduce that $\eta(H_2)$ lies in $\nu(P\Omega_8^+(q))$. Notice that this case is also impossible for $\varepsilon = -$, since $\Omega_8^-(q)$ does not contain subgroups isomorphic to $GL_2(q^2)$ or $GU_2(q^2)$.

Assume that $n - x = 1$ and $a = 0$. We have

$$n_0 = 2(|\theta^{(2)}| + |\upsilon^{(2)}|) + \cdots + b(|\theta^{(b)}| + |\upsilon^{(b)}|) - 1.$$

Since $n_0 \leqslant b$, we deduce that $n_0 = b$ and $H = \Omega_{2n}^+(q)$.

The proof for the case $\varepsilon = -$ can be done in the same way.

The theorems are proved.

As a corollary of Lemma 1.3, [2, Theorems 5 and 6] and Theorems 8 and 9 we obtain the following statements.

Corollary 8. *Let $G = \Omega_{2n}^{\varepsilon}(q)$, where $n \geqslant 4$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ and q is a power of an odd prime p. For $k \geqslant 1$ put $n(k) = (p^{k-1} + 3)/2$. Then $\omega(G)$ consists of all divisors of the following numbers:*

1) $\frac{q^n - \varepsilon 1}{2}$;

2) $[q^{n_1}+1, q^{n_2}+1, \ldots, q^{n_l}+1, q^{n_{l+1}}-1, q^{n_{l+2}}-1, \ldots, q^{n_s}-1]$ *for all* $s > 1$, l *is even, if* $\varepsilon = +$, *and odd, if* $\varepsilon = -$, *and* $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s > 0$ *such that* $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_s = n$;

3) $p^k[d_k, \frac{q^{n-n(k)} \pm 1}{d_k}]$, *where* $d_k = \frac{(4, q^{n(k)} - \varepsilon 1)}{2}$, *for every* k *such that* $n(k) < n$;

4) $p^k[q^{n_1}+1, q^{n_2}+1, \ldots, q^{n_l}+1, q^{n_{l+1}}-1, q^{n_{l+2}}-1, \ldots, q^{n_s}-1]$ *for all* $s > 1$ *and* $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s > 0$ *such that* $n(k) + n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_s = n$;

5) $p[q \pm 1, q^{n_1}+1, q^{n_2}+1, \ldots, q^{n_l}+1, q^{n_{l+1}}-1, q^{n_{l+2}}-1, \ldots, q^{n_s}-1]$ *for all* $s > 1$, l *is even, if* $\varepsilon = +$, *and odd, if* $\varepsilon = -$, *and* $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s > 0$ *such that* $2 + n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_s = n$;

6) $p[q \pm 1, \frac{q^{n-2} - \varepsilon 1}{2}]$;

7) dp^k, *where* $d = (4, q^n - \varepsilon 1)/2$, *if* $n = n(k)$ *for some* k;

8) $p(q^2 \pm 1)$, *if* $n = 4$ *and* $\varepsilon = +$.

9) $9(q \pm 1)$, *if* $n = 4$, $p = 3$ *and* $\varepsilon = +$.

Corollary 9. *Let* $G = P\Omega^{\varepsilon}_{2n}(q)$, *where* $n \geqslant 4$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$, q *is a power of an odd prime* p, *and* $(4, q^n - \varepsilon 1) = 4$. *For* $k \geqslant 1$ *put* $n(k) = (p^{k-1} + 3)/2$. *Then* $\omega(G)$ *consists of all divisor of the following numbers:*

1) $\frac{q^n - \varepsilon 1}{4}$;

2) $\frac{[q^{n_1} - \varepsilon_1 1, q^{n_2} - \varepsilon\varepsilon_1 1]}{d}$, *where* $n_1 + n_2 = n$, $\varepsilon_1 \in \{+, -\}$, $d = 2$, *if* $(q^{n_1} - \varepsilon_1 1)_{\{2\}} = (q^{n_2} - \varepsilon\varepsilon_1 1)_{\{2\}}$, *and* $d = 1$ *otherwise;*

3) $[q^{n_1} + 1, q^{n_2} + 1, \dots, q^{n_l} + 1, q^{n_{l+1}} - 1, q^{n_{l+2}} - 1, \dots, q^{n_s} - 1]$ *for all* $s > 2$, l *is even, if* $\varepsilon = +$, *and odd, if* $\varepsilon = -$, *and* $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s > 0$ *such that* $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_s = n$;

4) $p^k \frac{q^{n-n(k)} \pm 1}{2}$ *for every* k *such that* $n(k) < n$;

5) $p^k [q^{n_1} + 1, q^{n_2} + 1, \dots, q^{n_l} + 1, q^{n_{l+1}} - 1, q^{n_{l+2}} - 1, \dots, q^{n_s} - 1]$ *for all* $s > 1$ *and* $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s > 0$ *such that* $n(k) + n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_s = n$;

6) $p[q \pm 1, q^{n_1} + 1, q^{n_2} + 1, \dots, q^{n_l} + 1, q^{n_{l+1}} - 1, q^{n_{l+2}} - 1, \dots, q^{n_s} - 1]$ *for all* $s > 1$, l *is even, if* $\varepsilon = +$, *and odd, if* $\varepsilon = -$, *and* $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s > 0$ *such that* $2 + n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_s = n$;

7) $p[q \pm 1, \frac{q^{n-2} - \varepsilon 1}{2}]$;

8) p^k, *if* $n = n(k)$ *for some* k.

References

[1] *Buturlakin A. A.* Spectra of finite linear and unitary groups/ *Algebra and Logic.* Springer US, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 91–99.

[2] *Buturlakin A. A., Grechkoseeva M. A.* The cyclic structure of maximal tori of the finite classical groups/ Algebra and Logic, Springer US, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 73–89.

[3] *Aschbacher M. Finite group theory* / New York: Cambridge University Press, 1986.

[4] *Carter R. W. Simple Groups of Lie Type*/ New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1972.

[5] *Carter R. W.* Centralizers of semisimple elements in the finite groups of Lie type // *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3).* 1978. V. 37, N 3. P. 491–507.

[6] *Carter R. W.* Centralizers of semisimple elements in the finite classical groups // *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*. 1981. V. 42, N 1. P. 1–41.

[7] *Carter R. W. Finite Groups of Lie Type, Conjugacy Classes and Complex Characters* / New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1985.

[8] *Steinberg R. Endomorphisms of Linear Algebraic Groups* / Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., N 80. Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1968.

[9] *Testerman D. M.* A_1-Type overgroups of order p in semisimple algebraic groups and the associated finite groups // *J. Algebra*. 1995. V. 177, N 1. P. 34–76.